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HEARING OFFICER: We will call roll, please.

MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Harrington?
MR. HARRINGTON: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Lovin?
Mr. Wax?
MR. WAX: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Chambers?
MR. CHAMBERS: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: State's Attorney Perry?
MR. WAX: County Board?
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Henricks?
Mr. Edwards?
MR. EDWARDS: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Beem?
MR. BEEM: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Foran?
MR. FORAN: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Ms. Jones?
MS. JONES: Here.
MR. WAX: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Chairman Wax.
And good evening, folks. I hope everyone had a nice three-day weekend and four days away from
this particular meeting room.

When we last left off we had heard from those in favor of the application from the general public and those opposed. There were no folks who were signed in as neutral, and then we at that time accepted and read into the record written comments from the public, written public comments from the public to the ZBA.

Because there were a number of people who were not available to be here last Thursday when last we met, we carried over, in the schedule of progression, points 8, 9, 10, and 11, again, the testimony from persons in favor of, opposed to, neutral on and the different comments.

So we are going back to the list. Last time we called for those in support of the special use permit application, and there were two gentlemen who were on the list that were not here last week when they were called. I will call them again.

In support of the application, Mr. Dan Smith? Dan Smith of Farmer City?

MR. SMITH: I am right here, but I apologize. I must have gotten on the wrong sheet. I'm opposed to the application.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. I will put you on that list. Thank you, Mr. Smith.

And the other person $I$ have on the list of those in support was Mr. Phillip Hult from Mahomet.

Is Phillip Hult here?
Very good.
And we have one other person who has signed up in support, Amanda Pankau. I hope that is right. I messed it up last hearing, too, didn't I?

MS. PANKAU: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER: If you could, Amanda, you will stand at the podium.

Amanda, I am very sorry. I jumped way ahead of things. If you could, just be seated for a second. We'll call you up in just a second.

Mr. Hess just caught my eye. He had some preliminary matters we have to take up preliminarily, matters before testimony.

Mr. Hess, I am sorry.
And, Amanda, I am sorry. You'll be up in just a minute.

Mr. Hess, you have the floor.
MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Kains.
Good evening. This will be very brief. I
just have three pieces of housekeeping items to take up.

First, Prosperity Wind is in receipt of five public comments. These are five letters in support of the project. I would ask permission to hand these up and ask that these be made part of the record.

HEARING OFFICER: Yes, you may.
MR. HESS: Second, I have two documents that I would like to tender as exhibits and then proffer.

So the first is an updated decommissioning plan. Mr. Minder made three recommendations to the Prosperity Wind decommissioning plan. I have here what I believe is Exhibit 20. Mr. Keyt can correct me if I am wrong. This would be an updated decommissioning update to Appendix E7 that now includes each of the three recommendations that Mr. Minder made Thursday evening, and I'll briefly state those for the record.

The first was to add a 2.5 percent administrative cost to the cost of decommissioning.

The second was to add the cost of removing, hauling, and disposing of the foundation
for the transmission line towers.
And the third was to add a disposal cost
for the gravel at the substation.
Each of those three recommendations have
now been included in the decommissioning costs table
that is Attachment $A$ to this plan.
At this time I would proffer/introduce
this as I believe it is Exhibit 20.
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Keyt?
MR. KEYT: Do you have letters in support
that are you marking those as exhibits?
MR. HESS: I don't think that would be
appropriate because they are public comment.
HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. We are not going
to mark them.
MR. HESS: They are just public comments?
HEARING OFFICER: They are just public
comments.
Exhibit 20, counsel may have just a moment
to review this.
Will counsel correct me if $I$ am wrong?
The estimates have been revised in Attachment A,
Decommissioning Estimate; is that correct?
MR. HESS: That is correct.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess, where specifically are the amendments located on this cost estimate?

MR. HESS: I am doing this from memory since I gave up my two copies, but I believe the first change is in the bottom of the page in the table. If you see a section called electric or electrician lines, you'll see there are now three new rows, one for removal of foundations, and then immediately after that hauling of foundations, and then disposal of foundations. These are the three rows that Mr . Minder recommended be added to that section.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. It appears that removal of underground collector system cables is 23,600 . If you need this to refresh your memory, the hauling underground collector system cables would be 2,262, and the third one was --

MR. HESS: So, specifically, Mr. Minder's recommendation was to add to the foundations. We already had the cable removal. But if you see the foundation removal, that is what was not in there. That is correct. It was not in the original decommissioning plan. That's what we have now
added.
HEARING OFFICER: So, correct me if I am wrong. You have added to your decommissioning cost estimate all of the recommendations made the other night by Mr. Minder?

MR. HESS: Yes, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
Mr. Keyt, do you have any questions?
MR. KEYT: I do not.
HEARING OFFICER: All right. The amended decommissioning plan will be admitted into evidence as Applicant's Exhibit 20.

Go ahead, Mr. Hess.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 20 - AMENDED
DECOMMISSIONING PLAN.)
MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Kains. The last proffer I have is a revised landscaping plan. The landscaping plan is Appendix E1 to our application. Mr. Minder correctly pointed out that, while it has a scale, and while it has dimensions for the one building on the landscaping plan, it did not have decommissioning for the two concrete driveways or the gravel parking lot. We have now added those dimensions to make that explicit.

So, Mr. Kains, with your permission on that proffer, I would like to offer this and move to admit as Exhibit 21.

HEARING OFFICER: Yes. You may approach with that.

MR. HESS: And I do apologize. The only printer we have in our office here is $8.5 " \mathrm{x} 11$ ". So, I do apologize. It's hard to read, but we didn't want to change everything else on the landscaping plan. But $I$ will proffer the dimensions on the parking lot and the two driveways are what's new and what you would not find in the originally filed Appendix D1.

HEARING OFFICER: And these amendments were made pursuant to wanting to comply with Mr. Minder's recommendations; is that right?

MR. HESS: Yes, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
Mr. Keyt, any questions?
MR. KEYT: I assume this is Number 21? MR. HESS: Yes, sir.

HEARING OFFICER: All right. It will be admitted as Applicant's 21.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 21 - AMENDED

LANDSCAPING PLAN.)
MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Kains.
HEARING OFFICER: Anything further?
MR. HESS: No, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
Now, Amanda, come on up.

## AMANDA PANKAU,

a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: Please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.

MS. PANKAU: Amanda Pankau, A-m-a-n-d-a P-a-n-k-a-u.

HEARING OFFICER: Okay. You may go ahead.
MS. PANKAU: Great. Thank you.
So, as I said, my name is Amanda Pankau.
I am a resident of rural Piatt County. Specifically I live in rural Sangamon Township.

Thank you so much for the opportunity to share remarks tonight in support of the Prosperity Wind Project.

So, I grew up in Piatt County. I grew up here, again, today, having moved back in 2017.

I work professionally for the Illinois

Environmental Nonprofit Prairie Rivers Network. We are a nonprofit with a mission to protect water, heal land, and inspire change, and specifically work on issues related to energy and climate.

Before working at Prairie Rivers, I worked in the coal industry in southern Illinois on environmental issues, so I have a cumulative of 20 years of experience working in the energy sector in Illinois. I have been to nearly every active coal mine in the state and coal-fired power plant, and I've seen firsthand the pollution impacts that the fossil fuel generation has on the water, land, people, and our communities.

So I support wind energy in Piatt County because I am excited for our county, my home, to be part of the future where we can produce energy in a way that is renewable and a way that is clean.

So I think we often think these words clean and removal are just like buzzwords and don't actually have meaning, but they do.

So wind energy is clean energy. It's free of toxic emissions that pollute the air that we breathe and the water that we drink. It's free of waste streams that produce toxic, hazardous, or
radioactive waste. Wind energy is clean energy.
So, in particular, I do often hear people say, well, there is ways to associate it with wind; and, of course, there is. No form of energy production is without waste.

But just to put numbers into perspective a little bit: So, they modeled this. It's something we considered, or scientists have considered, not me, but I've looked at the research. It's predicted that in the United States, by 2050, there will be 2.2 million tons of wind turbine waste. 2.2 million tons. That's a lot, and when you read those numbers, those are scary numbers. That is not going to really be a problem for another 20 years.

Within the US, government industry and other governments in other countries are looking at this problem now.

So remember, $I$ said 2.2 million tons of waste cumulative in the US by 2050.

In 2019 alone, the United States produced 88.7 million tons of coal ash. So, in one year, 88.7 million tons of coal ash. That is toxic waste that is not technically defined as toxic waste simply because there is too much of it. If the

United States defined it as toxic waste, we would have an insurmountable problem. But it leaches arsenic, lead, and other heavy metals into the waters in East Central Illinois. So 88.7 million tons of coal ash in one year. 2.2 million tons of wind turbine waste cumulatively by 2015.

So, yes, we have a waste problem in the wind industry, but we have to take that in to compare it to other industries. And, again, we are talking about it now, 20 years before it is a problem. We waited to talk about the coal ash problem until coal fire plants are retiring in this country and companies were going to pack up and leave town.

So let's see. Where was I?
So wind energy is clean energy. We don't pollute the air here in Piatt County when we have a wind resource that we can capitalize on.

Wind energy is also renewable energy. It goes without saying that harvesting the wind is something that we can continue to do forever, and it won't run out. Wind and solar are now the cheapest ways to produce electricity. So, again, we have this resource in our county. It's not something

1 that we are going to decide someday we don't want to
2 produce energy with wind. This is the future of
3 energy production.
Even so much so that Thomas Edison, who invented the light bulb, in 1880, he did the light bulb, he himself had a wind turbine at his house, and he's quoted as saying, "We are like tenant farmers chopping down the fence around our house for fuel when we should be using nature's exhaustible sources of energy, sun, wind, and tide."

So just to say that again: We've known for a long time that wind energy is clean. It's renewable. It's not going away. We have that resource in Piatt County. I really hope that I can see us utilize it, and we have a project here proposed to do that.

One of the -- again, you know, it's clean. It's renewable. It's also a huge opportunity to bring revenue and jobs to our community.

I am an ecologist. That's what brought me to energy, but what brought me back to Piatt County is this is my home. This is where I wanted to raise my family. And I have been a long supporter of rural local economies and local resilience through
both my work at Prairie Rivers Network as well as my work on food systems, and I am the manager of the Monticello Farmers Market. So I, you know, really want our local communities to thrive and look for new, sustainable, environmentally friendly ways that our community can do that. That is one of the reasons I am also excited about clean energy here in Piatt County. We can produce energy here. We can create jobs here, and we can bring that economic opportunity here.

So, in particular, I picked up the giant stack, the permit, from Kari, the other day. I did not look at all 3,000-plus pages, but I did review in detail the portions related to threatened or endangered species and land use, so I was really pleased to see that the project proposes to implement the recommendations of the Illinois Department of Natural Resources when it comes to dealing with protected areas and threatened and endangered species and particularly setbacks for stream corridors, mitigation measures to avoid impacts to bats as well as post construction monitoring plans for birds and bats.
So, in particular, they are going to -- I

1 have some of the -- I didn't bring the full giant 2 stack, but I brought some of the information here 3 about one of the -- the operational measures that they are going to employ to avoid impacts to bats is by curtailment of the turbines during certain wind conditions and certain seasons when we know that bats are active and then pair that with post construction monitoring to determine that those measures are working or not.

If they are not, they are required to work with the Department of Natural Resources on incidental take permits, and through that process they will be required to do other mitigation measures if this isn't working and if they find that they are impacting threatened and endangered bat species.

So I'd just close by saying that: Thank you to all of our community leaders, our elected board members as well as those of you serving on the zoning board, and I know this has been a harrowing long effort on everyone's part, and I appreciate being able to make comments tonight. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Are there questions for Ms. Pankau from

```
the members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of
    Appeals?
```

    All right. Questions for this witness
    from members of units of local government, including
    school districts?
    Questions from interested parties
    represented by licensed attorneys?
    Mr. Hess?
    MR. HESS: No, sir.
    Thank you for your statement, ma'am.
    HEARING OFFICER: Questions from other
    interested parties, members of the public opposed to
    the application or neutral on the application?
    Any questions for Ms. Pankau?
    Questions from Piatt County staff and
    consultants?
    And final questions come from the board.
    All right. Very good. Thank you, ma'am
    for your testimony.
    There are no other persons on the sign-up
    sheets speaking in support of the special use permit
    application.
    Now we will go to the sign-up sheets for
    those speaking in opposition.
    Mr. Craig Burton is not here. Mr. Burton has submitted an e-mail to Keri. Thank you.

Then Bruce Paulsrud? Mr. Paulsrud?
Next is Katherine Burton.
Go right over here. Yes, ma'am.
CATHERINE BURTON,
a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: All right. Ma'am, if you could, please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.

MS. BURTON: Catherine Burton, $C-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-e$, Burton $B-u-r-t-o-n$.

HEARING OFFICER: And, Ms. Burton, the sign-in sheet indicates you are from Farmer City.

MS. BURTON: My address is Farmer City, but I am out in the country. Yes.

HEARING OFFICER: In which county does your property lie?

MS. BURTON: Piatt.
HEARING OFFICER: Piatt County. Very good. Then you have 30 minutes, if you so choose, and you may begin telling the board whatever you would like to tell them. Okay.

MS. BURTON: Okay. The first question that I have is, on Monday night of last week, my husband and I were here for the meeting, and I believe Claudia Coil asked a question, and I don't know if it has been answered.

The percentage of residents in this wind farm area versus landowners, has that question been answered?

HEARING OFFICER: I don't recall that it has.

MS. BURTON: Okay. So that is one thing I was wondering as well, the percentage of residents that are in this proposed wind farm area versus just strictly landowners, but $I$ will just go on to my statement.

I apologize. I am not an expert on anything. I am just speaking from the heart tonight. I don't have any notes, but we have been watching the process of everything going on with this proposed wind farm, and I will just say that the reason why I'm opposed is, first of all, because I own one acre of land in the middle of this proposed wind farm. The landowners that have agreed to put a wind turbine on their property do not
reside in this county. They are just landowners. One is out of Chicago. I don't know where the other one is from.

But because of their decision, I am now going to have literally two wind turbines in my backyard, and I can't say no. I don't want this.

And when the Apex representative came to my door asking me if $I$ wanted to sign paperwork to allow, you know, a closer turbine to my property, the way that he phrased it was --

You know, I said, "Is this going to happen? Like, what if I don't want this to happen? You know, what if I don't want a wind turbine in my backyard?"

And he said to me, "It's gonna happen."
Just like that. And I was shocked. Like it was a done deal.

And I was really wondering how the vote was going to go. The vote that we had, was it five months ago? It was put on the ballot for Piatt County voters. Do you want this wind farm? Seventy percent said no.

That speaks a lot to me. That speaks to me that, you know, we don't want this wind farm.

And my perspective as a landowner is that it's really sad that $I$ can't just say no even if, you know, I don't want it. My voice -- my opinion doesn't matter. It's just going to happen.

I have kind of done a little count in my mind of my neighbors, and I believe that there are ten neighbors that $I$ can see from where I live, and not a single one of them has agreed to this, and yet we are stuck with these wind turbines coming into our backyard.

Another perspective is, they talked about it's only going to be 39 acres of land that are going to be affected by these wind turbines. I am going to give you miles.

The wind farm that is located in Bellflower, which I believe is called Sapphire Sky, it's 13 miles from where $I$ live. And yet, when $I$ look out my bedroom window at night, all I see are strobe lights, constant strobe lights every single night. I can't even open my bedroom window outside and just see sky. Strobe lights affect me physically. They give me headaches. They make me dizzy. And so I just can't even look out my bedroom window anymore. That is 13 miles away.

So this is not just something that is going to affect me in my backyard or affect my neighbors in my backyard, but it's going to affect people that live far away from where I live.

We talk about a 30-year plan, but my understanding is that it could be 30 years initially, but it could also go another ten and another ten after that.

My daughter is 13 years old. So let's do simple math. Thirty years from now she is going to be 43, 44. She is the one that is going to have to deal with all of this. A lot of us that are in this room, I hate to say, but in 30 years we might not be here. How are we going to remember?

You know, but she is the one that is going to have to remember. She is the one that is going to have to deal with this.

And I just think it's unfortunate that this is still being pushed upon us even though the voters of this county have said no.

So I really would like to know the answer to that question of the percentage of residents versus landowners.

I think that's all that $I$ have to say.

HEARING OFFICER: All right.
MS. BURTON: Thank you for your time. I appreciate it.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Ms. Burton.

Are there questions for Ms. Burton from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals?

Mr. Harrington?
EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. Ms. Burton, thank you for your comments.

Could you possibly maybe explain more about what your encounter with the Apex rep was? What exactly was he asking for? I can take a guess, but maybe it's best if you tell me.
A. When he came to my door?
Q. Yes. I believe that is what you are referencing.
A. He came multiple times, but he was wanting us to sign an agreement that would allow them to place a turbine closer to our property.

And at that time -- there's two different landowners, one of them is -- we are on one acre that's part of a huge cornfield. So that landowner
is in Chicago and at that point in time had agreed to one wind turbine. And he told me, if I/if we signed this agreement, that they would possibly put two wind turbines. And then there was another landowner on the opposite side of the road, which was another factor, and if $I$ had signed that agreement, they would have put two in that field as well.

But at this point in time, on the map, there is just one and then one.
Q. All right. I hear what you are saying. It sounds like they were asking you to waive your setback right.
A. It's been a little while since I looked at the paperwork, but that is probably. Yeah.
Q. Very good. Very good. Was there any -- I
don't know how far you even got into it -- but
consideration of, you know -- the previous speaker mentioned curtailment for bats. Did they speak of anything about that?
A. No.
Q. Thank you very much.
A. You're welcome.

MR. HARRINGTON: Thanks.

HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions from

```
the board for Ms. Burton?
```

Okay. Very good.
Questions for Ms. Burton from members of units of local government, including school districts?

Questions from interested parties
represented by licensed attorneys?
Mr. Hess?
MR. HESS: No, sir.
Thank you for your statement, ma'am.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Questions from other interested parties?
That would be members of the public in support of the application or neutral on the application.

Questions for Ms. Burton from Piatt County staff and consultants?

Any final questions from the ZBA?
Very good.
Thank you, Ms. Burton, for your testimony. You may step down.

MS. BURTON: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: The next person in
opposition who wishes to speak is Pat Ryan.

Good evening, Mr. Ryan.

PATRICK RYAN,
a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Ryan, could you
please state your name, spelling first and last names for the record?

MR. RYAN: Patrick Ryan, P-a-t-r-i-c-k
$R-y-a-n$.

HEARING OFFICER: And the sign-in sheet that you signed in on the other night says you are from Farmer City, DeWitt County?

MR. RYAN: DeWitt.

HEARING OFFICER: And, in fact, I believe I recall you're a DeWitt County Board member; is that correct?

MR. RYAN: I was going to read that off my script.

HEARING OFFICER: All right. Very good.
By rule, as a non-Piatt County resident, you have ten minutes to speak, so you may begin. Thank you, sir.

MR. RYAN: First $I$ want to say a little bit about my background. I spent my career at the

Clinton Power Station in operations. I was a shift manager, ran the plant, put electricity in butt loads on the grid; but more pertinently, later in my career, I was Operation Support Manager, and in that role I was the point of contact for Ameren and MISO when there were grid conditions that Clinton needed to be aware of, mitigate, or whatever, just be aware of. So that was like a weekly call with MISO.

I bring that up because it's pertinent to the things $I$ am going to mention later on, but it gave me an understanding of grid operation.

So, as already mentioned, I served on the ZBA for a while, until I got elected to the board. I got elected to the board last November. I have not yet had the experience that you are going through dealing with a wind project. You are the first one out of the shoot it looks like, and I am sure you recognize county boards all over the state are watching with interest what happens here. I know I am.
Moving on, Jen Walling -- I want to mention her. She is a lobbyist and Executive Director of the Illinois Environmental Council, which is an advocacy group that backed the new state
law.

She was quoted in the Illinois Times in July. Some of the things she said, the industry is clamoring to come to central Illinois and southern Illinois.

And she went on to say that the law still allows counties to say no to projects.

And she said she expects lawsuits to be filed by counties and green energy companies to clarify questions surrounding the law.

So there is a lot more to her resume than that, but I am confident that she was instrumental in drafting this law.

So moving on, it's easy for me to say, standing here on this side of the table, but when the time comes in DeWitt County to vote on a similar project, I will vote according to our ordinance which will have the LaSalle factors in it. I am not going to necessarily vote the way I have been told to vote.

And in addition to the LaSalle standards, there are some technical and policy reasons for rejecting this project. That's kind of why I went into my career background.

There's numerous other renewable energy projects in the queue to be studied and approved at MISO, PJM, and other regional grid authorities.

And according to the energy policy driving these projects, this will continue until fossil fuel electric generation is eliminated and the electric grid is supplied only by intermittent wind and solar and backed up by batteries.

Anyone who has worked in the field of grid operations, whose view isn't compromised by the vast amount of money being passed out in the form of subsidies and grants, will tell you that electric grid supplied only by these intermittent, inverter-based sources is a delusion.

Instead of eliminating fossil electric generation, this policy creates the requirement that these base-load, dispatchable, fast-ramping, gas turbines stay online.

The current energy policy fails at what it sets out to do.

The percentage of wind and solar sources in the fuel mix supplying the MISO region on a given day is way over ten percent, and we can see what that looks like.

Imagine what the American heartland will look like at 30 percent or 50 percent.

You stand on the Ryan property, in DeWitt County, and look north into McLean County, that's how Piatt and DeWitt Counties will look, a blighted landscape not suited for people in homes.

I appreciate your service on the ZBA, and I urge you to not recommend approval of the Apex project, and the county board to not approve it. To do otherwise is to enable the next wind project in this technically unsound policy to bring more ruin to the American landscape. That's it.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Ryan.
Questions for Mr. Ryan from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals?

Mr. Harrington?
EXAMINATION
BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. You referenced a Jen. What was that? Wallace?
A. Walling, w-a-l-l-i-n-g.
Q. Walling. Thank you, and thank you for your contribution, your information.

You also referenced the MISO. Was that
right?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that an acronym, I assume?
A. Mid-American Independent System Operator, I believe it is. It's -- they are the regional grid authority.
Q. Correct me if I am wrong. If you look that up, you can see, at any given point, what percentage is coming from each?
A. If you go to MISOEnergy.org, there is an operations page, and it has got a pie chart.
Q. But very interesting, if I recall.
A. Yeah. Pretty telling.

MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions for Mr. Ryan from members of the board? EXAMINATION

BY MR. CHAMBERS:
Q. Just a clarification. You are a current ZBA member or a former ZBA member?
A. Former ZBA member. Current county board member.

MR. CHAMBERS: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: All right. Questions
for Mr. Ryan from members of units of local government, including school districts?

Questions from interested parties
represented by licensed attorneys?
Mr. Hess?
MR. HESS: No, sir.
Thank you for your statement.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Questions from other interested parties, members of the public in support of the application or neutral on the application?

I believe you are -- oh, yeah. You are in support of. Okay. Come on up. I always try to remember who is on which team.

Mr. Ehrat, if you could, please just go ahead and state and spell your last name for the court reporter.

MR. EHRAT: Braden Ehrat, B-r-a-d-e-n E-h-r-a-t.

HEARING OFFICER: Any questions, Mr. Ehrat, for Mr. Ryan about his testimony?

MR. EHRAT: Yeah. Thanks.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. EHRAT:
Q. So I am just personally, in an amateur way, interested in grid operations; I happen to be.

I am just curious. I believe that you mentioned inverter-based sources?
A. Correct.
Q. If we assume there is 100 percent of power generation on grid power by those and they worked -you also mentioned the combination of renewable batteries in the future.

Can you expand a little bit on what and why you were saying it won't work?

Is that inverters specifically or inverters plus batteries?
A. The current grid is largely supplied by large spinning masses, permanent generators. They provide a dampening effect to transients that are going on in the grid all the time. Invertors don't. Wind and batteries and solar -- I guess wind has some amount of inertia, but batteries and solar have none.

So the technology to make those devices respond to grid transients without just going "bling" does not yet exist. It's all in the research phase.
Q. It's my understanding that a converter backed by battery could actually basically bring up instantly; is that understanding wrong?
A. I am sorry. Can you repeat it?
Q. Sorry. It's my understanding that an inverter-based power generator backed by battery, if they have it and it's available, could ramp up just about instantly. Is that wrong or is that right?
A. Well, that's kind of a problem because there is no -- there's no time delay. When it sees a transient on frequency or voltage, the technology needs to exist to make it wait just a little bit so they are not fighting each other and causing what they call a frequency excursion, which will bring the grid down right away. So it's a -- it's not a fully backed technology yet, and that concerns me that we're plunging forward with this policy, with this energy policy, without all the pieces being in place.

MR. EHRAT: Great. Thanks. That is all the questions that I have.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Ehrat.

Any other questions from the general
public folks in support of the application or neutral on the application?

Questions from those folks for Mr. Ryan?
Very good.
Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants?

And final questions come from the ZBA. Anything based upon Mr. Ehrat's questions of the testimony of Mr. Ryan?

Mr. Ryan, you may step down.
The next person in the seat to the opposition of the special use application, Mr. Steven Gantz.

## STEVEN GANTZ,

a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: Good evening, sir.
Could you please state your name, spelling your
first and last names for the record?
MR. GANTZ: Steven -- S-t-e-v-e-n --
Gantz -- G-a-n-t-z.
HEARING OFFICER: And then in what community do you reside -- or what community do you reside?

MR. GANTZ: DeLand, Piatt County.
HEARING OFFICER: In Piatt County. Very good. Under rule, you have 30 minutes to speak. Say whatever you want subject to cross-examination, but you may begin your presentation to the board. MR. GANTZ: I have three major concerns regarding the Apex Prosperity Wind Project that directly affects our residents.

The first concern is all of the noise affecting our health. I was told by Apex that in our case much of this noise will come from the substation that is one half mile west of our house. The legal upper limit for noise is 45 decibels.

At the meeting on August 30, I was told by Eddie Duncan, Apex's sound expert, that, with the fans running on the transformers at the substation, the noise level at our residence will be right at the limit of 45 decibels. Kent Dowdy was present during this conversation.

Some people have had to leave their homes to get away from noise at this level. One of those people is Ted Hartke. He eventually had to sell his house, according to a News-Gazette article dated March 15, 2018. Mr. Hartke's house had a noise
level of 45 decibels, the same as my house.
Mr. Hartke stated in the News-Gazette,
"The noise level really needs to be 39 decibels."
He stated, "I paid for buzzing or humming
noises to be in my yard all day."
I'll second that sentiment.
The second major concern I had has to do
with a different kind of sound called infrasound
that is made by the turbines.
According to a Ph.D. audiologist,
infrasound is an inaudible type of sound that can
affect ten percent of the population. It can affect
people in many different ways. Irritability,
headaches, motion sickness, and an inability to
sleep are just a few of the symptoms.
He also states that we live in close
enough proximity to the turbines to be affected by
this if we are susceptible.
Are we susceptible? There is no way of
knowing until we live with a wind farm, and by then
it will be too late.
We just built our house five years ago.
We do not want to move. We want to live right where
we are.

But I'll ask Apex right now: If we find noise, either audible or infrasound, to be intolerable, will Apex reimburse us for what we have invested in our house so we can move?

The third major concern we have is a lowered value of our home. A well-respected real estate agent states that homes on one- to five-acre lots decrease in value 24 to 29 percent if they are within one mile of a wind turbine.

Our home certainly qualifies in this category. Using a ruler and carefully measuring the Apex map, I found that turbine $J 50$ is slightly less than seven tenths mile southwest of our home. Turbine J05 is also slightly less than seven tenths mile straight north of our home. Turbine J34 is approximately eight tenths mile almost straight south of our home. Turbine F 26 is just slightly over one mile southeast of our home. And Turbine H40 is approximately one mile northwest of our house.

Because of our close proximity to the Apex wind turbines, our home's value will certainly drop. This fact is in direct violation of Piatt County Zoning Ordinance Number 2 which states: The special
use will not substantially diminish property values within the neighborhood.

Is this drop in values of homes even addressed in the new state legislation? I don't know, but I ask the Piatt County Zoning Board and the county board to still take it into consideration.

In the last general election, the question of the desirability of a wind farm in Piatt County was posed and voted on. The vast majority of voters in Piatt County voted no. They did not want wind turbines in Piatt County.

I asked Piatt County Zoning Board and Piatt County Board to honor the wishes of the citizens of Piatt County, their electoral, and again deny Apex Energy its special use permit.

I wish all of this money and manpower was being used to build new, modern, nuclear reactors instead of inefficient windmills.

After all, Clinton Nuclear Power Plant has produced consistent electricity, wind or no wind, rain or shine, for years.

Bill Gates seems to think that nuclear power is a viable option. He's invested in the new

Natrium nuclear power plant in Wyoming. He states, "Nuclear energy, if we do it right, will help us solve our climate goals."

That is get rid of the greenhouse gas emissions without making the electrical system far more expensive or less reliable.

In summary, there are three things I would like to know from Apex, and I would like their answers, if possible, to be in the public records in the days to come.

The first is: Why can't the substation be moved farther south?

No one lives on Road 700 until you get one half mile south of Route 10. This would help reduce noise from the substation at my residence.

The second question is: Has Apex ever bought someone's home if they found the noise levels either audible or infrasound intolerable?

If you have purchased people's homes, how many homes have you purchased?

The third question is: If we find the noise levels intolerable, will Apex pay us what we have invested in our home so that we can move?

Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Gantz, for your testimony.

Questions for Mr. Gantz from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals?

Very good.
Questions for Mr. Gantz from members of units of local government, including school districts?

Questions from interested parties
represented by licensed attorneys?
Mr. Hess?
MR. HESS: No, sir.
Thank you for your statement, Mr. Gantz.
HEARING OFFICER: Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants?

And final questions from the ZBA?
Very good. Thank you.
Our next witness to speak in opposition of the special use permit is Colleen Kidd.

Good evening, Ms. Kidd.

## COLLEEN KIDD,

a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

MS. KIDD: Actually, I was just going to
make a statement not really be a witness.
HEARING OFFICER: You may. What we would like you to do is take the microphone and move it down towards you. There you go. All right.

Ms. Kidd, if you could, please just state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.

MS. KIDD: Colleen, C-o-l-l-e-e-n; last
name is Kidd, K-i-d-d.
HEARING OFFICER: And you live in DeLand?
MS. KIDD: I do.
HEARING OFFICER: And is that in Piatt County?

MS. KIDD: Yes, it is.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Then you may not use your whole half hour, but because you are a Piatt County resident you get a half hour to say what you want to say.

MS. KIDD: I just want to make a statement.

First of all, $I$ want to thank the zoning board for going through all this again, and the county board also for listening.

And once again, you know, you've spent a
lot of time with this hearing, and I just want to go
on for the record to say that I object to this
special use permit. I do not object to wind farms
per se. I object to wind farms on Piatt County
prime farmland. Once those wind farms go in, and
that concrete goes into that ground, the production
is not going to be there when they are gone.
I also resent the fact that the State of
Illinois has taken away the county's rights to
object to these wind farms, and I think that -- I
feel that this needs to be changed, and I hope the
zoning board and the county board will go through
that.
I don't feel that Prospect Wind has really
made much effort to talk to the drainage
commissioners or the fire protection districts.
I know that our Fire Chief in DeLand has
not been notified by them. I have heard that
anyway.

So I am just asking you, please vote no on this special use permit.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Kidd.
Questions for Mr. Kidd from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals?

Very good.
Questions for Ms. Kidd from members of units of local government, including school districts?

Questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys?

Mr. Hess?
MR. HESS: No, sir.
Thank you for your statement, ma'am.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Questions from other interested parties, members of the public, in support of the application or neutral on the application?

Questions for Ms. Kidd from Piatt County staff and consultants?

Final questions from the ZBA?
Ms. Kidd, thank you. You may step down.
Mr. Dan Smith? Good Evening. DAN SMITH, a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Sir, could you please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record?

MR. SMITH: First name Dan, D-a-n. Second name Smith, S-m-i-t-h.

HEARING OFFICER: And you are a resident of Farmer City; is that correct?

MR. SMITH: That is correct.
HEARING OFFICER: And in which county is your property located?

MR. SMITH: McLean County.
HEARING OFFICER: McLean County. Then, by rule, you have a ten-minute presentation for the board.

MR. SMITH: I just sent my tax bill off to Piatt County. I am trying to be funny. HEARING OFFICER: Oh, okay. If you own property in Piatt County, then you can have a half hour.

MR. SMITH: Okay. I am not going to take that, but $I$ am pretty sure ten minutes will be fine; but $I$ do live in McLean County.

HEARING OFFICER: McLean County. Do you own in Piatt County?

MR. SMITH: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER: Okay. You have half an hour.

MR. SMITH: Okay. I won't take that much. HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. SMITH: Members of the board, thank you very much for listening today.

I want to start out with, you know, telling you a little bit about myself and why maybe you should listen to me. I own Piatt County farm ground. It was purchased by my great-grandfather in 1872, so this property is very near and dear to my heart.

The second thing: I met with the salesperson from Apex. I heard his pitch. I live in the footprint of the Sapphire Sky Industrial Wind Generation. I don't like to call it a farm because it is not a farm. I live in the footprint, and I also sell communications equipment to utilities, so on a daily basis $I$ am interacting and talking to the people that work to keep the grid energized and keep everybody's power on. So that gives me a little bit of insight in talking to these people.

So I want to quote what I see on Apex's website. They say: Capable of producing 300 megawatts of clean, homegrown energy, enough to power 99,000 US homes each year.

So could I get a show of hands here? Who lives in these homes that only has power when the wind is blowing? Anybody? Okay. So right off the bat, that's kind of a fallacy.

So when the wind is not blowing, where does this energy come from? It's got to come from coal fire plants that provide base load. It has got to come from hydro in some places. It's got to come from nuclear plants. Wind energy has to be 100 percent backed up.

One of the first hearings that I attended here, I noticed that the air-conditioner was running full blast the entire time and there wasn't a breath of wind outside.

I see the wind turbines. They are right near my house, and at certain times they are just completely stopped when they are not generating electricity.

Electricity is what they consider critical infrastructure. If you want to talk to me about the Nertz cyber security requirements, because it is critical infrastructure for their communications network, that is kind of my wheelhouse.

People die when the electricity is off for extended periods of time. The grid needs to be energized all the time. So, there is no place on the grid for intermittent energy.

Now, here is kind of how the grid works: We've got these big generators, coal plants, hydro plants, nuclear plants. They generate large amounts of electricity that is carried over transmission lines and then it's distributed. This is called the base load.

On occasion we get these really hot summers where everybody's running their air-conditioning, and it exceeds what the base load can do.

So there's two things you can do there. You can have dispatchable energy, peaker plants. Those are good things, but understand the peaker plant is not a substitute for the entire grid. It only shaves off and takes care of that peak. So, if the wind turbines are not operating, the peaker plants are not going to carry the entire load of the grid.

The other thing that's done -- and I work with some of these people very closely -- is, when
there is high demand on the grid, there's some methods to shed load from the grid. So if you have a hospital with a large generator, you ask them for a couple of hours in an afternoon when it's really hot to turn on your generators and take the load off the grid. These are fantastic things.

Where does the wind energy come in? You can't reliably tell that there is going to be wind when those peak loads are going to be.

I understand that Apex is planning on putting Vestas wind turbines in Piatt County, and to me that is just so wrong. Vestas is a Danish company. We have a company right here in the United States, General Electric, that builds wind turbines. If we are going to be taking our electricity rates and we are going to be taking our tax subsidy dollars, shouldn't we be spending it with a company that is based here in the United States rather than the Danish company? To me that makes absolutely no sense.

I am going to read something that I got from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. It's called the IEEE, a very well respected organization, so this isn't some
conspiracy website:
Wind turbines are the most visibile
symbols of the quest for renewable energy
generation. And yet, although they exploit the
wind, which is free and green as energy can be, the
machines themselves are pure embodiments of fossil
fuels.

Large trucks bring steel and other raw materials to the site, earth-moving equipment beats a path the site, large cranes erect the structure, and all of these machines burn diesel fuel.

Where I drive to go to work, I go right through the heart of the Sapphire Sky all last summer, and $I$ saw this, and it was an incredible amount of fossil fuels they were burning.

For a five-megawatt turbine, the steel alone averages 150 metric tons for the reinforced concrete foundations, 250 metric tons for the rotor hubs and nacelles which house the gearbox and generator, and 500 metric tons for the towers.

So if you are really concerned about carbon and you are really concerned about the environment, wouldn't it make sense to leave those where they are rather than expend all this

```
incredible amount of fossil fuels for intermittent
energy?
    My last point: I personally experience
sleep disruption because of my proximity to the wind turbines. I know Apex will dispute this, but is it not logical that a hundred-foot long piece of fiberglass whipping through the air isn't going to cause some ultrasound that is going to keep somebody from sleeping?
```

I can tell. I don't sleep as well when they are turning. On these really calm nights, it's a little bit better. That is my personal experience.

So the zoning board, please -- you've turned them down before -- I am asking you to do it again for the good of Piatt County. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Smith, for your testimony.

Are there questions for Mr. Smith regarding his testimony from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals?

EXAMINATION
BY MR. WAX:
Q. Thank you, Mr. Smith.

How close are you to the turbine where you are having the sleep problems?
A. I haven't measured it exactly. I would estimate three quarters of a mile.

MR. WAX: Okay. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions from the zoning board for this witness?

Very good.
Questions for Mr. Smith from members of units of local government, including school districts?

Questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys?

Mr. Hess?

MR. HESS: No, sir.

Thank you for your statement.

HEARING OFFICER: Questions from other interested parties or members of the public in support of the application or neutral on the application?

Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants?

And final questions again from the Zoning Board of Appeals?

Very good. Mr. Smith, thank you. You may step down.

The final witness is Cassie Reeser.

Good evening, Ms. Reeser. If you could, please raise your right hand.

CASSIE REESER,
a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: State your name, spelling your first and last name for the record.

MS. REESER: Cassie Reeser, C-a-s-s-i-e
$R-e-e-s-e-r$.
HEARING OFFICER: And, Ms. Reeser, it says on the sign-in sheet that you are a resident of Farmer City. Is that in Piatt County?

MS. REESER: It's DeWitt.
HEARING OFFICER: It's in DeWitt County. Then, by rule, you have ten minutes. You may go right ahead.

MS. REESER: As I stated, my name is
Cassie Reeser, and I live on a third-generation family farm in the area of the proposed -- where the windmills are proposed.

I want to thank you, the zoning board, and
the members, for your time and opportunity to share my thoughts.

I have to be honest; it feels like we are struck in Groundhog Day. The zoning board has shot this down. The county board has shot this down. And if that was not clear enough, the Piatt County residents voted against it 70 to 30 in a public ballot.

I think it's pretty clear, but I'll state the obvious once again. We do not want this. My circumstances and opinion have not changed after sitting here night after night of these presentations.

This area, these farms are our homes and our legacies. We live here for the lifestyle and the peacefulness that comes with rural life, and we want to continue to pass on those opportunities to the next generation. No amount of posturing by Apex changes that.

They didn't listen when we said no the first time. How are we supposed to believe they would be good partners to the county and its residents if they can't even listen and respect our decisions?

All that aside, I ask you to think about whether you would want these proposed windmills to be passed in your own backyard, and to continue to listen to what the community is saying and represent those voices.

I ask you to once again vote no to the prosed windmills.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Reeser.
Questions for Ms. Reeser from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals?

Questions for Ms. Reeser from members of the units of local government, including school districts?

Questions for Ms. Reeser from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys?

Mr. Hess?

MR. HESS: No, sir.
Thank you for your statement, ma'am.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Questions from other interested parties, members of the public in support of the application or neutral on the application?

Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants?

And final questions come from the ZBA.
Ms. Reeser, thank you for your testimony. You may step down.

That concludes testimony from witnesses. We have no persons on any sign-in sheet who stated they would testify as neutral.

There still will be time for folks to speak during the public comment period that will come later this evening.

Time now again. We took up, on last Thursday night, a handful of letters and e-mails addressed to the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Oh, Mr. Hartke is approaching.
MR. HARTKE: Here is a copy for everyone. I delivered a copy today to your office.

MS. NUSBAUM: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess, Ms. Antoniolli, if you will approach, one of you, here's Mr. Hartke's written statement.

Mr. Keyt, for you.
Holly, we are going to go off the record for just a minute.
(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
HEARING OFFICER: All right. It is time for written public comment. We will have oral public comment a little later on. It is time for written comments. I have five letters that have been submitted to the Zoning Administrator, Ms. Nusbaum, in support, and I have two letters and three e-mails submitted to the Zoning Administrator to go to the board from folks in opposition. So five and five.

Here we go: This is a letter in support. These first five will be letters in support.

From a Mr. Matthew -- M-a-t-t-h-e-w -Kitzmiller -- K-i-t-z-m-i-l-l-e-r. He states he's a Piatt County resident.

To the Piatt County Board and ZBA:
I am writing to you as a resident of Piatt County and as someone who supports wind energy development.

Not only is this reliable, locally generated electricity that we need, but it brings jobs, investments, and tax revenue to our county, which we can use all three.

One thing I want to talk about is the concern about birds being killed by wind turbines. Yes, it happens on occasion, just like birds being
killed colliding into buildings or with cars. It's not nearly as many as the millions which are killed by pet cats. It is not anywhere near the danger caused to bird populations by pollution and climate change.

The Audubon Society, one of the nation's biggest supporters and conservators of birds "strongly supports wind power" while recognizing that it can be dangerous for some birds. That is why they have been working with wind energy companies to set up guidelines that help protect birds as much as possible.

In my conversation with Apex, I have learned that they meet or exceed every one of those guidelines.

Apex spent two years using professionals to map bird migration routes, count bat population in the area, and look for things like raptor or bird nests. They completed multiple studies on multiple bird populations and where they live. They have even agreed with the Illinois DNR in mitigation that includes curtailing turbines at certain times of year at certain wind speeds when there's a potential danger to bats.

I know the members of this board have a lot of concerns about the environment, about wildlife, and about making sure that diversity among bird species is important.

Wind energy is far more advantageous to those goals than it is detrimental. I encourage you to support Prosperity Wind and approve their permit.

Matthew Kitzmiller, Piatt County Resident.
A letter dated today, September 5, 2023, from a Mr. Tom Scott, Jr., of Monticello, Illinois:

Zoning Board of Appeals and County Board: I have lived in Monticello since October 1997, and I have been associated with the Piatt County area for much longer. My family and I have been volunteers of Monticello Railway Museum since it located in Monticello back in 1969.

I was disappointed to learn the ZBA decided not to recommend the special use permit for Goose Creek Wind to the county board.

According to what it said in the Journal Republican, the ZBA claimed no one would be hurt economically if the wind farm was not constructed.

I don't know what they were thinking when they said that. The statement would be laughable if
it weren't so sad. I really don't know how they
reached that conclusion.

Construction/operation of the Goose Creek Wind Farm and now this project, Prosperity wind, would be an economic boon to the county both in money spent locally and in tax revenue when the farm becomes operational. The tax revenues alone would benefit all citizens of the county.

As I understand it, more people pulling the "tax wagon" the lower the tax burden on everyone. The property taxes paid by a commercial development like Apex helps the county.

Apex has already benefited the area in a number of ways, including their community grant program, the Champaign, Havana \& Western Small Railroad Historical Group, of which I am president. We've worked with Heartland Pathways to clear and maintain hiking trails between Seymour and White Heath and most recently between White Heath and Lodge. At CH\&W, we got a $\$ 3,500$ community grant from Apex last fall and used it to have a local forester clear out a little over a mile railroad grade, XICRR, for Lodge to Shady Rest Road. This was a real boon to our efforts to get the White

Heath to Lodge railroad grade open for use of the trail. Cleaning brush and trees out on this section would have taken our group a year with chainsaws and forging through thick poison ivy. No fun.

With the grant, we were able to hire a forester to do it with a machine, and the task was accomplished in a day. Best of all, no one got head-to-toe poison ivy rash.

I hope you see the benefits of having the Prosperity Wind farm in our county.

Thanks. Thomas Scott, Jr., of Monticello.
A letter dated today, September 5, 2023, from Ross Warsaw of Mansfield, Illinois: Chairman Wax, Zoning Board of Appeals, and Piatt County Members, Piatt County Board members: As a long-time resident and taxpayer of Piatt County, I write to express my support of Prosperity Wind proposed by Apex Clean Wind Energy.

I am participating in the project and leasing my land, and I am thankful for this opportunity and am proud to participate in the project. I've lived in Piatt County, specifically Mansfield, for a long time. I've raised my three sons here in the house $I$ still live in; and, in
fact, one of my sons still lives in Mansfield and another son lives in Ford County. My family owns a small farm. I was a trucker for 40 years and am thankful for my three sons, six granddaughters, and four great-grandchildren. There is a lot to be grateful for, and I am happy to see my farm go to my boys one day.

However, social security is not what it used to be, and I can't rely on it as much as I would like to for a retirement fund. Signing up for this wind farm, with the consistent revenue it will bring, allows me to diversify my income streams. It also increases the reliability of my finances. This security gives me peace as I grow older and think about the legacy I leave for my family.

I continue to live in Piatt County and invest in this community that $I$ care about. The Prosperity Wind Project supports my family and the many generations it now has.

As you consider the Special Use Permit Application submitted by Apex Clean Energy for Prosperity Wind, I ask that you keep in mind the many individuals who are counting on this project for a stable source of income and providing for
their families. I support Prosperity Wind Project.
Kind regards, Ross Warsaw of Mansfield, Illinois.

A letter dated today, September 5, 2023, from Tracy Wood of Sycamore, Illinois:

Attorney Wax and Zoning Board of Appeals and Piatt County Board:

My name is Tracy Wood, a daughter of a landowner participating in the Prosperity Wind Project. I am writing to express my support of Prosperity Wind proposed by Apex Clean Energy. I am proud to participate in the project.

The land in Piatt County owned by my mom that is participating in the project has been in my family for 15 years. My mom has Parkinson's disease. Parkinson's is a chronic, perpetual disease that slowly robs your loved one of the ability to walk, write, feed themselves, and talk. It was devastating when my mom was diagnosed. My mom lives alone, and I can't always be there to take care of her. We've hired a nursing service that allows her to stay in the home that she loves and get peace of mind for our family.

> In recent years, we have had to sell 1 ground to pay for the nursing service for my mom. The additional revenue to participate in Prosperity Wind on top of the rent we already receive in farming helps immensely to maintain these services for my mom.

If we were to get a turbine, the turbine and access road would only take less than one acre out of production out of the close to 1000 acres we farm. The tenant farmer will still be able to farm around the turbine, allowing the ground to continue to be used as always and receive consistent additional revenue. This financial security helps pay for my mom's medical needs and will allow my sister and I to keep the land intact when my mom ultimately passes the property to us.

Keeping the ground in Piatt County not only continues my mother's legacy of love for the country but also the legacy of agricultural communities in general.

One of the things my mom has passed down to me is a love for horses. I own Grand Woods Stable. I own a horseback riding center in Sycamore, Illinois. My daughters love to take care of the horses and ride them, too.

Because of participating in Prosperity Wind, my family and I get to continue our passions for riding horses, living in the country, and promoting values for farming families and communities. My hope is that the legacy of my mom and her values will continue for future generations.

As you consider the permit application submitted by Apex Clean Energy for Prosperity Wind, I ask that you keep in mind the many individuals who are counting on this project for a stable source of income and the continuation of the legacies of farming families.

Sincerely, Tracy Wood, Sycamore, Illinois.
And the final letter in support that we have to read into the record tonight is dated today, September 5, 2023, from a Mr. Geoffrey Beazly, B-e-a-z-l-y, of Urbana, Illinois:

Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals, Chairman Wax, Zoning Board, and Piatt County Board Members: I write today to express my support for the Prosperity Wind project proposed by Apex Clean Energy.

I grew up in Piatt County and still have family living in the county, such as my grandma.

These family members are participants in Prosperity Wind.

I currently work for the seed department at the University of Illinois. This work brought me recently to live in Urbana, but I still consider Piatt County home. I studied ag business in college. We discussed wind and energy in a few of my classes, and my fascination for the advancement of clean energy was stimulated. There are a lot of neat types of clean energy, one of them being wind energy, that are seeing advancements all the time. Even so, wind energy is proven technology to generate electricity.

I am thankful for the revenue that my family will receive from the Prosperity Wind Project as participating landowners, and maybe even more excited for how this utility-scale project will make clean energy more public. More people need to know about the benefits of wind energy.

As you consider the permit application
from Apex for Prosperity Wind, I ask you to approve it so that local families and economies can benefit and more people learn about the benefits of wind energy.

Sincerely, Geoffrey Beazly, Urbana, Illinois.

That concludes letters and written public comments from those in support.

We have five in opposition. We begin first with one dated Friday, September 1, 2023, from Alexis, $A-L-E-X-I-S, ~ G o d b e e, ~ G-o-d-b-e-e:$

Hello. I am writing to you to urge you to stand up for the residents of Piatt County and vote no for the wind farm yet again being brought back to us by Apex.

Please do not forget the petitions that have been turned in and all of the letters that have been written in opposition over the last four-plus years.

Apex is clearly trying to "prosper" by their newly branded Prosperity Wind Project, but just as they are prospering in hopes of bullying everyone into approving, the residents and you, members and representatives of the county board, can also stand strong with us in opposition of the project.

Despite what Apex may say, there are way more people against the project than for it that
actually live in this area. Most of the leaseholders do not actually live in Piatt County and will not have to deal with the negative effects, but they will benefit monetarily from its passing.

How is that fair?
And think, if last year Apex was offering Piatt County $\$ 5$ million if we approve the project by the end of the year, how much do you think -- how much do you actually think they stand to make profit wise off of our land for the length of the project?

They do not have to stick around and deal with the deteriorating roads from heavy machinery or the flicker and noise pollution from the turbines. If it was really about the environment and reducing the use of fossil fuels and the impact on the grid, why don't they offer every house a mini turbine approximately 30 feet in the backyard that could be controlled by the landowner?

Because then they wouldn't profit as much off those because the energy would actually be staying locally and going to the landowner. Otherwise, all the energy made from this project is going to another town, state, or country. Also, do not forget about the vote that
was put on the ballot with an overwhelming amount of residents voting no to wanting any industrial wind turbines in our area. If memory serves me correctly, I believe the no votes were at least 72 percent for Piatt County.

I love country living, peaceful nights, peaceful night skies, uninterrupted sunrise and sunset views, and small town living.

Please do not allow Apex or their union workers to bring large, obtrusive, industrial wind turbines into our precious soil farmland for many years to come. Please stand strong with us in preserving Piatt County.

Thank you for reading. Alexis Godbee.

I have a letter received by the Zoning Administrator on September 5, 2023, from Kayla Gallagher:

Piatt County Zoning Board, thanks again for taking the time away from your families to attend these hearings and hear testimony from the public on this issue.

My opinion has not changed since the last time you heard testimony on this issue. I am still
adamantly opposed to industrial wind development in Piatt County. Piatt County residents in opposition to this project still have legal counsel and have been advised that, although Apex claims counties can't apply the LaSalle factors, the state statute did not, in fact, overrule case law on this issue. As you know, Piatt County constituents voted by an overwhelming majority after the governor signed the amendment to the Illinois Counties Code signaling that we want you to continue to stand up for the people who actually reside in Piatt County and have to deal with short- and long-term implications of siting of a wind farm here.

Kayla Gallagher.
An e-mail dated today, September 5, 2023, from Mr. Craig Burton: To whom it may concern: I am sending this e-mail in order to submit a written statement to be read aloud tonight at the ZBA wind farm meeting. I'm opposed to this project. The first section is to be addressed to Apex, and the second section is to be addressed to the Piatt County Board and the Zoning Board of Appeals.

The following section is to be addressed to Apex with the section in quotes being read with drama and conviction in your voice similar to Abraham Lincoln's famous Gettysburg address.

I met Mr. Burton the other night. He is a very nice gentleman. I am not going to add a whole lot of drama and conviction. I am sorry to Mr. Lincoln and others.
"Fourscore and five months ago, our forefathers voted that we want you to go.

What part of "no" don't you understand?

We don't want you
to proceed as planned."
In all seriousness, $I$ can't fathom what kind of country we now live in where "We the People" no longer have a voice. Seventy percent of people who voted in this county specifically voted that we don't want a wind farm. That is not just a majority. That is well over supermajority, but Apex doesn't seem to care about trivial things such as what the residents of the county actually want. They, obviously, only care about their pet project
and the money it will make them. If Apex had any actual dignity, they would honor the will of the people in this county and the will of the county board who voted this project down.

This isn't complicated. We live in America where the will of the people is supposed to matter. It is companies like Apex that do America great shame by subverting the will of the people and using big government to get what they want instead. Shame.

I also want to address the statement made on the first slide show of the first meeting last week, on August 28, 2023. It was stated that this project would not affect property values. Apex has absolutely no way of predicting a future of property values for each individual homeowner, which makes that particular statement presumptuous and false. It should be stricken from the record.

This next section is to be addressed to the Piatt County Board and also the Zoning Board of Appeals.

The Piatt County Board, and also the voters of this fine county, voted that we don't want this project polluting our landscape. I don't care
what the governor says. We the people of this county expect for our elected board members to continue to honor the will of the people and vote this project down once again.

We thank you for listening to us the first time around. We only ask that you please continue listening to us.

Thank you so much.
Craig Burton.

An e-mail from Mr. Chris Stillabower dated today, September 5, 2023, consisting of three printed pages, although it's multiple spaced. Please read into the record:

Dear Zoning Board, my name is Chris Stillabower, S-t-i-l-l-a-b-o-w-e-r. I have been a resident of Piatt County for almost four decades and am proud to call this place my home. We may not have beaches or mountains here, but we still have a beautiful place that needs to be protected.

Thank you to the Zoning Board and County Board for your due diligence in vetting the wind farm application and to the citizens for speaking up about their concerns.

I am opposed to the wind farm for several
reasons: Flashing lights, decommissioning costs, blades that can't be recycled, future road repairs, noise, including both audible noise and infrasound, shadow flicker, loss of scenic vistas, future expansion with additional turbines.

I am still left with many unanswered questions. We were told by the applicant that there is certainty in the project, yet I still see great uncertainty.

While the applicant has said they will listen to our complaints and offer us a hotline, they haven't seemed to notice the zoning board and county board voted down the project along with the citizens on the ballot.

If our complaints aren't heard now, then why would they become better listeners in the future?

We had several people speak out in the spring about reasons not to approve the wind farms. Many had issues with what I've mentioned, but others mentioned the cost would be increased for aerial spraying for farmers.

Not all of these people came back this session, but $I$ still think we should still consider
people who spoke at the Goose Creek hearings. While the applicant may say that the two projects are different, in reality the name was changed, a few turbines and buildings moved, fire suppression systems added, but we haven't seen many other differences.

They aren't sure how well the ADLS will work even though they could have asked the FAA how often the lights would be illuminated based on flight patterns.

My understanding is that, with 50 turbines of these sizes, two lights per tower would be required, thus we would start the project day one with 100 blinking lights.

The blades still can't be recycled, and we need to ensure we have a place lined up with an agreement signed to dispose of them before the time comes.

Are the road repairs included in the future decommissioning costs as they would likely be damaged again?

Will the audible noise and infrasound cause anyone to have wind-turbine-related sickness?

Who will investigate if the audible sound
exceeds the allowable limits when the Illinois Pollution Control Board says they refer complaints to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency; however, the IPCB website said IEPA no longer runs a noise program and to report noise concerns to local police or health department?

Shadow flicker may be limited to 30 hours on a residence, but $I$ don't believe there is any limit to the amount of flicker on a field or roadway.

Once 50 turbines are approved, it will likely only be a matter of time before more are requested and more approved.

Our scenic views will never be the same. Currently, the largest objects in rural Illinois are our grain elevators and water towers, and that is often how we can identify towns here. Now our view of the quiet, peaceful, countryside is subject to change.

I hope the county holds its stance on not approving this project.

As a lifelong resident of Piatt County, I plan to retire here one day and am hopeful that our county's beauty can be preserved so we aren't losing
our sunsets and night skies to large spinning fans. Sincerely, Chris Stillabower, Mansfield, Illinois.

I just noticed as I turned the page over on Mr. Burton's e-mail, after he signed his name, I turned it over, and Mr. Burton has his address and notes with asterisk: Our property is located in the middle of this proposed wind farm project.

I just wanted to add that to Mr. Burton's statement and include that as part of the record at least as far the written public comment is concerned.

And the final letter is from Mr. Theodore E. Hartke, PE, PLS, of Sidney, Illinois.

It's titled: Hartke written statement to Piatt County regarding Apex wind energy project, and it is dated September 5, 2023.

Dear Piatt County Zoning Board, Piatt County Board Members, Apex Wind Company staff and representatives and especially the citizens of Piatt County:

My name is Ted Hartke. I have attended many of the Piatt County zoning meetings regarding wind energy these past few years. My family
abandoned our Vermilion County home due to sleep deprivation from wind turbine noise. Many of you have heard my testimony regarding my personal experiences.

I have reviewed the Piatt County Apex Wind Energy Project Application and have found it to be insufficient and noncompliant with the requirements set forth in Illinois law and also against the United States Constitution.

The very important parts of this letter are in red, bold font, underlined text.

As I get to those, I will state red, bold-font. How is that?

Apex's Clean Energy's wind turbine application does not -- in red, bold font -- not meet the minimal -- minimum technical requirements for approval in any county in Illinois because it does not meet the standards or codes or rules established by the Illinois Pollution Control Board, IPCB, outlined in Section $F$ of the Public Act, of Public Act 102-11123, signed by J. B. Pritzker on January 23rd as follows:
(f) A county may not set a sound limitation for wind towers in commercial wind energy

```
facilities or any components in commercial solar
energy facilities that is more restrictive than the
    sound limitations established by the Illinois
Pollution Control Board under 35 Illinois
Administrative Code -- and this is bolded in red --
parts 900, 901, and 910.
    Section 900.102 Prohibition of Noise
Pollution: A person must not cause or allow the
emission of sound beyond the -- red, bold font and
underlined -- beyond the boundaries of that person's
property, as defined in Section 25 of the
Environmental Protection Act, 415 ILCS 5/25, that
causes noise pollution in Illinois or violates any
provision of this chapter. (Source: Amended at 42
Illinois Register 20432, effective November 1,
2018.)
    There are two parts to the IPCB noise
pollution law, both are exceeded with Apex's current
wind project application. The first part is
    "nuisance noise" and can be established without
sound pressure level measurements. The nuisance
noise portion is more difficult to quantitatively
prove except through true-life experience.
    My experience is that the noise levels
```

predicted by Apex for this Piatt County project, 45 dBA, will result in severe sleep deprivation for residents. The US EPA states its sleep disturbances begin at 40 dBA .

This highlighted section is the source and text of the first part being "nuisance noise."

The next part that I would read is
highlighted in yellow in Mr. Hartke's letter:
35 Illinois Administrative Code
Section 910.104. Current through Register Volume 47, Number 25, June 23, 2023.

A violation of 35 Illinois Administrative Code 900.102 (nuisance noise) can be established without sound pressure level measurement. However, sound pressure level measurements may be introduced as corroborating evidence when alleging a violation of 35 Illinois Administrative Code 900.102 if measurements are collected in compliance with the manufacturer's instructions for the sound measuring equipment. The sound measuring techniques in 35 Illinois Administrative Code 910.105 may be used as guidance in gathering data. Amended at 42 Illinois Register 20487, effective 11/1/2018.

That concludes that section of the yellow
highlight.
The second part of the IPCB noise level exceedance is the numerical measured quantitative sound pressure level measurement. The first step to determine noise compliance is to set up the instrument within the boundaries of the receiving land at least 25 feet from the property-line noise source. In the case of wind turbines, they are more than 25 feet from property lines. Unleased lands are also contiguous and share a common border to the property containing the wind turbine.

In red, bold font, Mr. Hartke writes:
The measurement location shall be a property line as it says in this highlighted section:

There is a highlighted in yellow section that I will read:

35 Illinois Administrative Code Section 910.105. Current through Register Volume 47, Number 25, June 23, 2023.

To determine a noise source's compliance with 35 Illinois Administrative Code 901, sound pressure level measurements are obtained using the following measurement techniques:
(a) Site Selection.
(1) One or more outdoor microphone positions may be chosen -- and then in red, bold font is written -- within the boundaries -- end of red, bold font -- within the boundaries of the receiving land, as long as the positions are at least 25 feet ( 7.6 meters (m), from the -- red, bold font -- property-line noise source -- end of red, bold font. The 25 -foot setback distance is from the noise source and not the -- in red, bold font -- the property line -- end of red, bold font -- unless the noise source is contiguous to the -- in red, bold font -- property line -- end of red, bold font.

Apex representatives and their attorneys insist on noise levels for the residential use area, "residential use area" being the homes and nearest surrounding perimeter of the homes. I asked the same question in various sentence structures to figure out why they insist on ignoring the property line measurement requirement.

Private "unleased" land should never, ever be part of an easement or legalized abatement for any pollution caused by neighbors. The United States Constitution exists to protect freedoms which

```
    includes property ownership rights. There are four
    parts to private property rights:
    1.) Rights to possession.
    2.) Right to control.
    3.) Right to use and quiet enjoyment.
    4.) Right to allow others a right to use
    (licenses and leases).
    Any allowance to let Apex use part of
    non-participating properties as a noise abatement
        zone is against the IPCB rules and against the
        protections of the United States Constitution. None
        of the elected or appointed officials of Piatt
        County have a right to hand over any portion of
        those four private property ownership rights.
    Apex obviously knows there are ways to
    still construct their wind farm. The "good neighbor
    agreement" is an instrument available to them to
    gain a license or lease to encroach with noise or
    any other impediment the neighbors agree upon. The
    "ultimate" waiver would be the one Apex recently
    used in Vermilion County where the entire
    residential property was purchased from three
        different homeowners.
        The Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals
```

and the Piatt County Board should never give authorization for illegal, uncompensated taking of land for any purpose. Even though there is such a thing as "imminent domain" for public taking of land, those proceedings are not pursued. Without just compensation, citizens should never be forced upon by unconstitutional taking of any portion of their land.

In summary, the entire noise debate is about the health impacts turbines may have. Perhaps Apex can give better assurances that these turbines will not cause problems.

Ask them to sign this contract:
And this is bolded, again, red font: "Sleep deprivation is wrong in the form of torture, therefore, authorized agent of Apex Clean Energy hereby signs below stating: "Wind turbines will not cause sleep issues as a form of torture for children inside their homes in the Piatt County Prosperity Wind Energy Project." Signed and dated.

That concludes that segment of bolded, red font.

For those citizens who are contemplating signing a "good neighbor agreement with Apex," he
asks that they sign this:
"I am fully aware Apex's good neighbor agreement includes noise easements over my property. It might cause problems for me and my children, but I am okay with sleep issues that may result." And again a signature line and a dated line.

For the county board elected and appointed officials agreeing to allow sleep deprivation for illegal, uncompensated use of private land of Piatt County residents, Mr. Hartke writes the following contractual agreement:
"I am ignoring the oath of office which includes upholding the US Constitution and approving uncompensated use of private land as noise abatement zones to a private corporation for their profitable production of electricity and also ignoring the fact that Apex noise levels failed to be measured at property lines required in the IPCB rules." And there is a signature line and a line to date.

Remember that the smallest minority is the individual. In the United States of America, individual rights are paramount. Any society that marginalizes a sector of our population who is deemed to suffer or have less rights in favor of

```
"the common good" is a communist society. Luckily,
we are not a communist country as long as we can
keep and defend the guaranteed freedoms and rights
incorporated into the Constitution of the United
States of America.
    Finally, in bold, red print: In
    conclusion, the Apex Prosperity Wind Energy Project
    does not meet the Illinois requirements and shall be
denied -- concluding the red, bolded print -- shall
be denied on the basis of my presentation and this
written letter.
```

Thank you for your hard work and time spent on this matter.

Best regards, Theodore P. Hartke, PE, PLS, of Sidney, Illinois.

That concludes the written public comment in writings, both letter form and electronic form that have been printed for us.

Those written comments will be accepted into the record, made part of the public record, will be copied for each Zoning Board of Appeals member, and they will be copied for each county board member as well and become part of the record. Next step in our schedule of progression:
Presentation of any Piatt County staff reports or
comments.
Ms. Nusbaum, do you have any report?
MS. NUSBAUM: I do not.
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Keyt, do you have
anything?

MR. KEYT: No. Not at this time.
HEARING OFFICER: Not at this time. Very good. I understand there is one issue that we are going to discuss toward the end of the session tonight.

All right. Rebuttal evidence from the applicant?

Mr. Hess, do you have any witnesses you wish to call in rebuttal?

MR. HESS: Yes, sir, Mr. Kains. We would briefly like to recall Mr. Moore. I would anticipate it to be about five minutes of direct testimony, so I don't know if the ZBA wants to take a break now or after Mr. Moore.

HEARING OFFICER: I wanted to find out how many witnesses you have. You just have one witness, Mr. Moore?
MR. HESS: Yes, sir.

HEARING OFFICER: It is time to take a break, don't you think, Holly?

COURT REPORTER: I agree.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good. It is 7:17. The board is in recess. We will reconvene here at 7:30. Thank you.
(RECESS TAKEN.)
HEARING OFFICER: Because the applicant has the burden of going forward with their application, they have the opportunity to present a rebuttal witness.

And Mr. Hess?
MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Kains.
Prosperity Wind calls Mr. Alan Moore back to the stand.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
Mr. Moore, could you please raise your right hand to be sworn?

ALAN MOORE,
a witness herein, called by the applicant, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: Sir, state your name please and spell first and last name for the record.

MR. MOORE: Alan Moore, A-l-a-n M-o-o-r-e. HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Mr. Hess, your witness. Thank you, Mr. Kains.

REBUTTAL EXAMINATION
BY MR. HESS:
Q. Mr. Moore, I would begin by asking you to identify a document.

MR. HESS: May I approach the witness, please?

HEARING OFFICER: Yes, you may.
MR. HESS: Thank you.
MR. MOORE: That is the Natural Resource Impact Assessment that Prosperity Wind put together. BY MR. HESS:
Q. Did you receive this document from Ms. Brianne Gates of the Piatt County Soil and Water Conservation District?
A. Actually, excuse me. Let me restate that. This is the -- it looks like the Natural Resource Information Report prepared for the zoning board by the Piatt County Soil and Water Conservation District.
Q. Did you receive this document from

Ms. Brianne Gates on August 25?
A. I did.
Q. To your knowledge, who is Ms. Gates and who does she work for?
A. She works for the Piatt County Soil and Water Conservation District.
Q. In looking at the title page of this document, it is an NRI report parcel ID PIN Number 040219005003-00. Do you recognize that particular parcel ID number?
A. I do.
Q. Is that the parcel that will host the substation and O\&M building for Prosperity Wind?
A. It is.
Q. Just flip to page 7 of this report. Does this report purport to give a land evaluation site assessment score?
A. It does.
Q. And does it have a scoring range of 0 to 200 as low, 200 to 225 as medium, 225 to 250 as high, and 250 to 300 as very high?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And according to this report, this parcel is scored at 220.83, which is a medium rating; is
that correct?

## A. That is correct.

MR. HESS: At this point, Mr. Kains, we would move to admit I believe it's Applicant's Exhibit Number 22.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Keyt, do you have any questions?

MR. KEYT: I do not have any questions.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good. The NRI report, with respect to the substation parcel, will be admitted as Applicant's Exhibit 22.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 22 - NRI REPORT.)
MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Kains.
BY MR. HESS:
Q. Mr. Moore, let's back up and explain how we got here. You testified last week that you have been working in Piatt County for several years now; is that right?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. As part of that work, have you been involved in Piatt County's revisions to its wind ordinance?

## A. I have.

Q. So is it fair to say you are familiar with
the current version of the Piatt County wind ordinance?
A. $\quad I \quad a m$.
Q. Are you familiar with Section $V(b)$ of that ordinance that lays out the type of information that must be included with a special use permit application?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with $V(b)(10)$ of that ordinance that requires an application to include "any other information normally required by the county as part of its zoning ordinance, including NRI/LESA reports for each affected parcel"?

## A. Yes.

Q. Piatt County revised its ordinance in May of this year. Are you familiar with the last version of the Piatt County Wind Ordinance?
A. I am.
Q. To your knowledge, did the previous version of the Piatt County Wind Ordinance, the one that would have been in effect until May of this year, include this requirement that we now see in $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{b})(10)$ ?

## A. It did not.

Q. Did the previous version of the Piatt County Wind Ordinance require an NRI/LESA report at all?

## A. It did not.

Q. Were you the developer for the Goose Creek Wind Project here in Piatt County?

## A. Yes, I was.

Q. As developer of the Goose Creek Wind Project, did you submit a special use permit application to Piatt County in September of 2022?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the Goose Creek Wind Special Use Permit Application include an "NRI/LESA" report?
A. It did not.
Q. Were you present for the Goose Creek Wind Special Use Permit public hearing before this ZBA?
A. I was.
Q. Did that hearing span multiple nights?
A. It did.
Q. Did anyone at any time during the Goose Creek Wind public hearing bring up an NRI/LESA report for the Goose Creek Wind Project?

## A. They did not.

Q. Did anyone in Piatt County, to your
knowledge, state that the Goose Creek Wind
Application needed an NRI/LESA report?

MR. KEYT: I would object to the relevance. We are not here on the Goose Creek Project. We are here on the Prosperity Wind Project.

MR. HESS: May I respond, Mr. Kains?
HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
MR. HESS: First of all, we've compared Goose Creek and Prosperity Wind quite a bit throughout this hearing, so that would be my first response.

Second, it goes to whether we've complied with a lawful requirement. As we put in our written objection, one of the reasons why the requirement is unlawful is it's arbitrary and capricious.

Now, at the end of this $I$ hope to revise that objection so $I$ don't think it needs to be ruled on here today, but I do think Prosperity Wind needs to make a record that the only other time Piatt County has considered a wind application it did not impose this requirement. It is a new requirement that would support if we needed it in this record. It's an arbitrary and capricious claim. That is the
relevance.
HEARING OFFICER: I am going to overrule
it, but let's get through Goose Creek, and let's
talk about this one.
MR. HESS: Yes, sir, Mr. Kains.
BY MR. HESS:
Q. When you first saw the language in the current ordinance about $V(b)(10)$, requiring an NRI/LESA report, did you go out and research what those reports are?
A. I did.
Q. Based on that research, is it your understanding that this is the type of report that would be typically prepared by a private developer like Apex or a government agency like the Soil and Water Conservation District?

## A. The latter, a government agency.

Q. Did you conduct research and review guidance documents from the US Department of Agricultural and other sources to understand what type of information typically goes into these types of reports?

## A. Yes.

Q. Are you familiar with Appendix C3 of the

Prosperity Wind Special Use Permit Application, a document entitled the Natural Resource Impact Assessment?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Is this a 1,360-page document?
A. It is.
Q. Based on your research and understanding of the information that typically goes into an NRI report, did Prosperity Wind include the same type of information in this Natural Resource Impact Assessment?
A. Yes.
Q. So, for example, an NRI report typically includes an evaluation of the GIS data related to ag land, wetlands and soil use.

Is the same type of data included as Exhibit A of the Prosperity Wind Assessment which is a soil and farmland impact analysis?
A. It is.
Q. And Exhibit B to the Natural Resource Impact Assessment is the AIMA between Prosperity Wind and the Illinois Department of Agriculture; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And Exhibit $C$ to that assessment is the hydrology study by Barr Engineering that has been discussed several times in this hearing?

## A. Yes.

Q. And Exhibit $D$ is the Farmland Drainage Plan that has also been discussed in this hearing; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And Exhibit E would be engineering plans for the Prosperity Wind turbine foundations; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Finally, Exhibit $F$, is the culvert study by HLR that analyzes the condition and flow rate of every culvert within the project footprint; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, the very first page of the Prosperity Wind and Natural Resource Impact Assessment has a date of June 18, 2023. To your knowledge, is that about when that assessment was finalized?

## A. Yes.

Q. Did you submit the Natural Resource Impact Assessment to the Piatt County Soil and Water
Conservation District?
A. I did.
Q. When did you submit that?
A. A few days after the 18th.
Q. So sometime in mid to late June?
A. Correct.
Q. When you submitted the Prosperity Wind Natural Resource Impact Assessment to the Piatt County Soil and Water Conservation District, did you request it be added to the agenda of the next Soil and Water Conservation District Board meeting?
A. I did.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. We wanted to, you know -- we understood that there was concern in the community around where our facilities would be located and what the impact would be. There were two things the Soil and Water Conservation District would be concerned with, so we wanted to come before then and provide detail about them.
Q. Was Prosperity Wind added to the agenda of the next Soil and Water Conservation District board meeting?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. And that would have been the June 21, 2023, Water and Soil Conservation board meeting?
A. Correct.
Q. Then what happened?
A. So that meeting, unfortunately, was canceled. I do not believe they had a quorum, so the meeting was canceled. We heard about it the day of or shortly before, the evening before the meeting.
Q. Did you subsequently offer to meet with the Soil and Water Conservation Board?
A. Sure. Yeah. We submitted the Natural Resource Impact Assessment, confirmed that it had been distributed to board members, and offered to meet or address any questions or concerns that were raised.
Q. Did you provide a courtesy copy of the complete Prosperity Wind Special Use Permit Application to the Piatt County Soil and Water Conservation District?
A. We did. Yes.
Q. Do you recall when you did that?
A. It was a little bit after our application went in because $I$ wasn't sure if they had it or not. So I wanted to make sure they had a full copy.
Q. On August 9, 2023, did you receive an e-mail from Ms. Brianne Gates of the Piatt County Water and Soil Conservation District?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she represent herself as the newly hired Resource Conservationist for the Water and Soil Conversation District?
A. She did. Yeah.
Q. In her e-mail, did she say she was going to mail you an application for an NRI report?
A. She did. Yes.
Q. And did she request that you fill out the application?
A. She did request that we fill it out.
Q. Did you then have several phone calls with Ms. Gates?
A. I did. Yes.
Q. And through your conversations with Ms. Gates, was she and the Soil and Water Conservation District requesting one application at that time or multiple applications?

## A. She requested one application at that

 time.```
Q. And did you eventually agree with Ms. Gates to give her one application for the most impacted parcel within the Prosperity Wind Project?
A. Sure. Yeah. We talked several times about what she was looking for, and we decided that the most impacted made more sense.
Q. Is the parcel that you provided her the parcel with the same PIN number that we now see on the first page of the NRI report?
A. Correct. Yes.
Q. When you submitted the application for this parcel, did that submission include electronic information, what is commonly known as a shapefile?
A. Yes.
Q. After submitting this information, did Ms. Gates ask for shapefiles for every other parcel?
A. She did after that, yes, ask for every other parcel where our facilities would be.
Q. Did you provide shapefiles for every other parcel?
A. Yes.
Q. To date, has Ms. Gates asked you to fill out any other application?
A. No.
```

Q. To date, has Ms. Gates asked you for any other information?
A. No.
Q. Has Prosperity Wind answered every question and provided all of the information asked or requested by Ms. Gates?

## A. To my knowledge, yes.

MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
At this time, Mr. Kains, I have no further questions.

At some point, if it pleases the ZBA, I would like to be heard on modifying or narrowing a prior objection.

HEARING OFFICER: Let's take that up at the end of the evening.

MR. HESS: Yes, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Remind me. Would you please?

MR. HESS: Yes, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: All right. Questions
for Mr. Moore regarding his testimony from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals?

Mr. Keyt, do you have questions?
MR. KEYT: Not at this time.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
Other interested parties?
Members of the public, opposed to the
application or neutral on the application, questions for Mr. Moore?

Mr. Gantz?
Mr. Gantz, if you could, come to the microphone right here.

For the record, you are Steven Gantz?
MR. GANTZ: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER: You may ask questions of Mr. Moore.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. GANTZ:
Q. I don't know, Alan, if you can answer these right now or not. I guess I'll ask them --
A. Sure.
Q. -- and we can see.

Like I said in my speech, I guess my first
question is: Why can't the substation be moved farther to the south on Road 700?

MR. HESS: Mr. Gantz, I am sorry to interrupt.

Respectfully, I would object. This is
beyond the scope of his direct testimony. We called him up for one and only one topic. So with all due respect to Mr . Gantz's question, I do object.

HEARING OFFICER: You are correct. I am going to sustain the objection.

Mr. Gantz, I am sorry. I know you want questions answered, but it is appropriate under the law to only have questions regarding his testimony with respect to the Natural Resource Information Report.

MR. GANTZ: All right.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.
Are there any other questions from the public, those opposed or neutral on this application, with respect to the NRI/LESA score?

Yes, Mr. Edwards.
Mr. Edwards, if you could, for the record, state your name, spelling first and last.

MR. EDWARDS: Jerry Edwards, J-e-r-r-y $E-d-w-a-r-d-s$.

HEARING OFFICER: All right. Go ahead with questions on this issue for Mr. Moore.

EXAMINATION
BY MR. EDWARDS:
Q. To state fact, I am the chairman of the Piatt County Soil and Water Conservation District.

I would like to ask the question: He says -- he said that, after the one board meeting was canceled because we did not have a quorum, that he submitted a request to meet again. I, being the chairman, that goes through me, and I never received such a request.
A. Sure. Yeah. I mean, just for clarity's sake, I communicated with Julie, who I believe is the admin for the Soil and Water Conservation District. She is the one who I chatted with about getting on the agenda the first time.

When it was canceled, $I$ understood that it was canceled because of quorum. I followed up with an e-mail to confirm she received our information that we had submitted and offered at that time to her via e-mail to be happy to come back for another meeting or be happy to set up individual time to discuss anything related to with the board or staff, and I didn't hear anything back from her, so...
Q. Are you not aware that, being a public body, that meetings are open to the public the third Wednesday of every month?

## A. Sure.

Q. So do you still say that you tried to communicate and make an appointment to come to see the board?
A. Yes.

MR. EDWARDS: Okay. That doesn't answer my questions, but that is all I have.

HEARING OFFICER: All right. Very good.
Thank you, Mr. Edwards.
Are there any other questions for
Mr. Moore with respect to this particular issue, the NRI/LESA reports?

Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants on this issue?

Redirect, Counsel?
MR. HESS: No, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
And final questions for Mr. Moore on this issue from the ZBA?

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. Alan, I understand this subject has been worked through or discussed a lot. Why don't you just let them do the assessment and move on?

What is the holdup?
Why don't you?
Or are you in disagreement with it?
A. To be clear, we've submitted all the information that they would need to do the assessment and to do it independent. So we've supplied them with whatever request made of us.
Q. Right. But I think they have a protocol of their own, don't they? Isn't that the topic? Right?
A. Sure. And, again, I am not the expert on sort of the legality of all these things, especially as it relates to the new state siting law. So there are certainly considerations as it relates to that.

But my specific relationship in this has been to help put together some materials and to coordinate directly with Brie at the Soil and Water Conservation District to make sure that they had everything they needed to do the assessment.

So, to my knowledge, she has everything that she would need to do an assessment of where our facilities are with respect to the project.
Q. Sure. No. I understand they have the data needed. I don't know if that's being
questioned so much as the timeline is.
A. Sure. Yeah. Again, I am not a lawyer, right? I don't even try to pretend to be one.

But, you know, there are things in there that $I$ don't necessarily understand that $I$ think that Mr. Keyt and our attorneys and others can speak more eloquently about.

I think, from my perspective, you know, Brie reached out and has been, you know, good to communicate with. She is, obviously, working on the Soil and Water Conservation's behalf. She has requests of us. We have done what we can to supply her with anything she would need to do the assessment.

MR. HARRINGTON: I understand.
HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions from the board?

Very good.
Thank you, Mr. Moore. You may step down.
Any further evidence in rebuttal,
Mr. Hess?
MR. HESS: No, sir. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: All right. Mr. Hess, Ms. Antoniolli, do you have any other exhibits that

```
you've referenced that are not in?
    MS. ANTONIOLLI: Nothing.
    MR. HESS: I don't believe so. No, sir.
    HEARING OFFICER: All right. Very good.
    Then it is time for a closing statement.
    Ms. Antoniolli, are you ready?
    MS. ANTONIOLLI: Yes.
    HEARING OFFICER: Very good. You may
    proceed.
    By way of information for the public,
Ms. Antoniolli will give a closing statement on
behalf of Prosperity Wind, LLC, and then we will
open the floor up for public comment, and it will be
three minutes per person.
    I am just going to call rows and sections,
as there is no sign-in, but we will get through
public comment.
    And then, after public comment, Counsel
may make a rebuttal closing statement, if necessary,
and then we will be close to being done for the
evening.
    Ms. Antoniolli, you may proceed.
        APPLICANT'S CLOSING STATEMENT
    MS. ANTONIOLLI: Thank you.
```

I would like to start by saying thank you. It has been said several times, but I can't restate it enough. Thank you to Chairman Wax and the Zoning Board of Appeals members who have been here night after night to listen to our presentation on Prosperity Wind and all of the public comments.

Thank you to Zoning Administrator Nusbaum for getting the public notice out in the paper timely and all the behind-the-scenes details that led up to the hearing tonight.

And thank you also to Mr. Keyt for collaborating on issues related to the hearing.

And also, Mr. Kains, thank you for running a fair and efficient hearing and also for sprinkling your Dad jokes in when the time was right.

So we thank you for the opportunity to present on the Prosperity Wind application and present evidence to show that it meets all of the applicable requirements.

What really came through over the past week of hearings and what we are really proud of as well is that the Prosperity Wind team, including Mr. Moore, Mr. Carlson, Mr. Conley, and Ms. Anderson, listened closely to the community
during the Goose Creek hearings and worked really hard to provide you a new and improved application. It's a project that brings more certainty, more protection, and more financial assurance as well as a reduced project footprint. We heard from Mr. Moore, supported by testimony from Dr. Loomis, that this project is a rare economic opportunity for the county. It's going to provide a stable source of income for many years to come, and the project will provide significant additional revenue to local taxing districts, including local schools.

We also heard from Mr. Carlson, supported by testimony from Mr. Seibring, your county engineer, that the road use agreement is ready for signature. It's going to bring millions of dollars of road upgrades in the county and put millions of dollars in the county's hands to perform the surface road repair work in the way that the county sees fit.

Mr. Conley, supported by testimony from Mr. Carlson, explained how safety is one of Apex's core principal values and the project will be installed with state-of-the-art fire-suppression
technology, taking the fire safety component to a whole new level beyond industry standards.

Ms. Anderson walked us through how the application meets or exceeds in many cases each of the Piatt County requirements. She walked us through the ordinance from top to bottom with more certainty in this application than she has ever seen at this stage of development for any other project, and you can be sure that the improvements are noteworthy.

For example, no waivers are needed for sound or shadow flicker, and the assumptions in the decommissioning plan have been revised to provide more than a million dollars in additional financial security for the county if needed.

The next step for the ZBA is to make a recommendation to the county on whether to approve or deny the application.

At the Goose Creek hearings, at this stage, when you were about to go into deliberations, you were told to consider the seven hearing factors in the Piatt County WECS Ordinance, also known sometimes as the Lasalle factors, but there has been a notable change in the law and that fundamentally
changes what a county may consider in deciding whether to grant a special use permit application for a wind farm.

Now, we've heard some consternation about this new change in state law, and we hear you; but the fact is county governments have only the zoning authority given to them by statute, and that statute with respect to zoning wind farms has changed.

Now the ZBA, in making its recommendations, and the county board, when voting on the application, must consider whether the application meets the wind-specific provisions of the county's code, the county's WECS ordinance adopted consistent with that code, and all other applicable state and federal regulations; and if it does, the county shall approve the application.

And your expert, Mr. Minder, has done a substantive review of the application and found that it meets these requirements.

Now, the ZBA has a vital role to play in this determination. As a ZBA, you have the privilege of receiving and weighing evidence. You have in the record before you the Prosperity Wind Application in addition to everything that you've

1 heard under oath and that's been admitted into the record, and you must now use your knowledge and expertise to review that evidence to determine if the application presented meets the standards. The seven hearing factors are now a secondary consideration. They only come into play if evidence presented clearly does not satisfy the factors. The hearing factors and the purposes of the robust WECS ordinance that Piatt County has adopted are to assure that any wind farm built in Piatt County is safe, that it minimizes risks, and it facilitates economic opportunities for local residents.

The project fulfills the hearing factors because it meets and in many cases exceeds the Illinois state and federal requirements and all the standards in your WECS ordinance. It includes the setback requirements, sound and shadow flicker, and safety protocols. And it establishes, for example, in response to Mr. Gantz's concern about sound, a robust, complete resolution process.

Under this complaint resolution process, Apex will take every complaint very seriously. It will investigate the underlying cause and reach a
resolution with the landowner on a case-by-case basis, and that resolution will look different in every instance where there is a complaint, but you can rest assured that Apex takes every complaint very seriously.

The application at pages 46 to 51 and evidence presented throughout the past week of hearings shows that the project satisfies the hearing factors, and having fulfilled those means that the ordinance's purposes have been fulfilled related to public health, safety, moral, and general welfare, and no evidence has been presented in this hearing to the contrary.

It is on behalf of Prosperity Wind that we respectfully request that the ZBA recommends approving the project. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Counsel.
It is time now for public comment. We will have public comment first from any members of units of local government, including school districts.

Anyone here from local government who wishes to make a public comment?

Any county board members who wish to

```
address the zoning board?
```

    Interested parties represented by licensed
    attorneys, do you have any folks who wish to address
    the board in public comment?
    Mr. Hess?
    Ms. Antoniolli?
    MR. HESS: No, sir.
    HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank
    you.
    Now we are going to open the floor to
    members of the public who are in support of the
    application.
    May I see hands in the air, please, if you
    are in support of the application and you wish to
    address the board in a three-minute public comment?
    I see Ms. Miner, I see Mr. Ehrat, and I
    see Ms. Pankau.
    Is that right? "Pankau"?
    MS. PANKAU: Yes.
    HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Anybody else in
    support of the application?
    All right. We'll begin with Meg Miner.
    You'll have three minutes from the time I say go.
    I feel like Guy Fieri on Guy's Grocery
    Games. Nobody is a fan? All right.
Meg Miner?
COURT REPORTER: Spell your name for me.
MS. MINER: M-e-g M-i-n-e-r.
HEARING OFFICER: All right. Ms. Miner, you have three minutes, and you may begin.

CLOSING COMMENTS IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION MS. MINER: Just briefly responding to some things that came up in other people's comments, the recycling question, $I$ was really astonished at the comparison between the blade recycling or the waste that is expected over the next 50 years compared to what we currently do, but I'll also point out that I can't recycle anything in my community. I have to go to other communities to recycle anything at all, and nobody takes glass. I mean how long has glass been around?

So, you know, it doesn't really shock me that maybe the industry's -- the profit margins haven't come up to make fiberglass recycling profitable when we can't even do stuff that we've had for ages.

The other comment that I had was on the voter turnout. Yeah, it was a landslide vote
against this proposition after all our governing
bodies voted on it, but it was also a pretty low
voting turnout as I recall. I think it was around
20 percent of people in Piatt County showed up to
vote during that election. So, you know, it's the
way the ratios played out.

I don't think anyone has claimed to be solely relying on wind to go into our grid. The grid is really fascinating. If anybody hasn't looked it up, it's really kind of an amazing consortium or cooperatives, if you want to think of it that way.

I think what we are talking about here is diversifying, right? Diversify everything. We are not supposed to put all of our eggs in one basket, and $I$ don't think anybody is saying wind is going to be the solution to everything, every single problem you have. We have to take baby steps to get us somewhere because the direction we are going right now is not good.

I'll just close by saying it is
all-hands-on-deck time. We need to do everything that everyone can possibly do in our personal and business relationships to get on the environmental
bandwagon. So, thanks for your time.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Miner.
Mr. Ehrat? And, again, could you state your name, spelling first and last?

MR. EHRAT: Braden B-r-a-d-e-n E-h-r-a-t.
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Ehrat, you have three minutes. Go right ahead.

MR. EHRAT: Yes. Thank you.
As Meg said, like, I don't think wind energy is the absolutely -- and it's definitely not -- the only solution. We need many other things that have been prosed here. You know, we need hydropower, although there is a limit. And we need, you know, nuclear power development, all that stuff.

But I want to sustain our way of life indefinitely for generations to come. By definition, that means we must develop sustainable energy, and wind turbines are a proven way that works today.

And, you know, I think, like, the future is here. My house is completely electric. We have electric heating, electric cars, electric lawn mowers, and so I really would actually like to see wind turbines from my front porch powering all of
these things.
You know, yes, I wish they didn't require diesel construction equipment to construct, but nothing is free. Nothing has zero concerns, but the benefits outweigh those concerns.

I did, however -- when I usually do construction equipment at my house -- I built an electric excavator. It's probably not big enough, if you want to borrow it. Just so you know.

I look at MISO's website actually quite often for my own interest. And yes, unfortunately, the wind is intermittent, but that is why I have programmed my electric car to charge at night or whenever there happens to be the most wind or solar, and that's possible today.

I have installed solar panels on my own house and am installing them on my new house.

I want to point out that, you know, I believe somebody pointed out why don't we just install 30 -foot wind turbines at all of our houses. And I have looked into that and would really like do it, but unfortunately the wind doesn't blow as much farther down, and so you need height and scale. And so that is why I support this large-scale wind
energy and also support panels on roofs.

How much time do I have?
HEARING OFFICER: You have about 40 seconds.

MR. EHRAT: Yep. Thanks.
I was also curious about -- let me know if this is any improper research or whatever, but I was also curious about impacts to agriculture and yields. And according to a research study by the Iowa State University, wind turbines do have a measurable increase in crop yields, and this is due to the fact that they create -- they kind of mix the air a little bit, and that makes air interact with the crops at the ground more, contributing to more exchange of CO 2 and other things in the air. So I just thought that was interesting.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Ehrat, I am sorry, but I am going to have to cut you off.

MR. EHRAT: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for your comments. We appreciate it.

And, Ms. Pankau, you may begin.
MS. PANKAU: So I am actually going to touch a little bit on what we just heard and based on what I heard from a couple of the other folks that spoke tonight that created some uncertainty in the role that wind and solar can and should play in our future.

So we've heard this word MISO or MISO. People say it different ways. So just to clarify, it's actually the Midcontinent Independent System Operator. So this is an independent, nonprofit, member-based organization, and it manages those three things. It manages the flow of electricity across 15 US states. It facilitates one of the world's largest energy markets. And (3) it plans the grid of the future.

So, again, we heard this uncertainty. Do wind and solar even have a place in our energy future?

So I just wanted to say a few things about what Illinois plans. You know, I work for environmental nonprofit. You know, it sounds like we are made out to be people who are picky about these things.

Illinois actually has very reasonable
goals. Right now we have a state renewable portfolio standard to try to get to 50 percent
renewable energy by 2040 .
The state of Iowa already has 62 percent of their electricity generation coming from wind. Illinois sits at about 12. So we have a long ways to go before we need to worry about reliability and capacity and things like that. We are not making these changes overnight. Fifty percent by 2040.

And then, according to MISO, in their 2022 regional resource assessment, they project that wind and solar generation will serve 60 percent of MISO's annual load by 2041.

So Illinois' goal is very consistent with what MISO is planning for in its grid of the future. Wind and solar certainly have a place to play in all of the above approach of how we produce electricity in our community and our state and our nation.

I think I'll just leave it at that. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Pankau.
All right. This side of the room over here, may I see a row of hands who would like to make public comment?

Okay. I see. What's your name, ma'am? MS. HOWELL: Vicki Howell.

HEARING OFFICER: Vicki Howell, okay. And then Mr. Smith, Mr. Hartke, Mr. Reed.

Okay. In the first three or four rows, anybody else that wants to raise your hand to talk?

Okay. Then, oh golly, the row that Ms. Coil is seated in?

Ms. Coil, Ms. Ryan.
Okay. Anybody else on this side of the room who I haven't written down?

I better write these down.
Ms. Coil and Ms. Ryan, okay.
Now on this side of the room, those opposed, hands up if you want to make a three-minute public comment.

All right. I have the following folks who will be going in this order: Vicki Howell, Dan Smith, Ted Hartke, Jim Reed, Ms. Coil, and Ms. Ryan.

Anybody else who wishes to speak in
opposition?
Okay. First of all, Ms. Howell?
Okay. If you could, please state your name and spell your first and last names.

MS. HOWELL: Vicki Howell, V-i-c-k-i
H-o-w-e-l-l.

HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And you have three minutes to say what you would like to say to the board.

MS. HOWELL: Thank you. This is the first time I've ever been at anything like this. It has been an eye opener.

I do live right in the smack middle of the new proposed project, and everywhere I look I am going to see nothing but wind turbines, and now we have a really nice view out there. So, please vote no.

But the wind farms that are about 15 miles from me that are on 136, there is nothing but blinking lights when we look that direction, and they look like they are right on top of us, so I can't imagine what it's going to be when I have a wind turbine a half a mile from my house.

And I would like to ask you guys:
Do you have any wind turbines next to you?
Would you like to live in a house with it half a mile from your house looming over your house?

No. Not if you live in the country like we do. You probably live in town with lots of buildings around, and that's not what we like. So
we would like the peace and quiet and just the views that we have, so please vote no.

HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you very much, Ms. Howell.

Dan Smith. Mr. Smith, go right ahead. Three minutes now starting.

MR. SMITH: Okay. You'll have to excuse me if I mispronounce your name, Ms. Antoniolli.

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Very good.
MR. SMITH: Okay. So I am hearing from you assurance that Apex is going to be there if there is ever any problems, any issues, you are going to be responsive, you are going to be -- you are going to be listening.

My family and I met with Danny Jordan. He was the salesperson that came around and giving us the contract, having us to look at it and encouraging us to do it.

He indicated that the wind farm, once it was developed, would be sold. I don't know if he was supposed to say that or what, so maybe you could comment on that.

The other second point I want to make, and I'll make this really brief. If anybody has ever
driven on Route 47, north of Gibson City, right south of Dwight, there is a wind farm up there, and probably every other turbine is leaking oil right down the blades, and it's just terrible. You know, I know this expensive synthetic oil they use in wind turbines, you could see where it's dripping around the blades. It's black around the nacelle.

So I don't know. I am getting the sense that probably the people that signed those contracts too were told that, hey, if there is any problems; but those exist. Every time -- I go up to the Chicago area quite a bit, and I see those all the time. It looks to me like a wind farm was developed, somebody purchased it, and they are just not maintaining it.

So thank you very much for listening to my comments.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Mr. Hartke?

MR. HARTKE: Greetings. My name is Ted Hartke. I was happy to testify as much as you let me testify. I had a lot more to say, and I don't want anyone in Piatt County to go through what I have gone through. I don't want anybody in Piatt

County to feel like they are in a position that there is very few people that can go help the next community along.

I think when bad things happen, you see something, you say something, and I think that that is an important thing to go by. We are all here looking out for our neighbors.

I don't live in Piatt County, but I have an employee who does. I think our neighbors are not just next door; our neighbors are in the next county over. I just don't and can't imagine not attempting to help.

I think the burden we have been forced into here is something. It's called regulatory capture when people are making decisions that are not going to be liable or have to live with the outcome. Those folks are so disconnected; and if you are disconnected from your bad decision, you'll never pay a consequence for that. And I think that is what we have happened here, is the State of Illinois, northern Illinois legislators will never, ever have to face up to our neighbor problem that we are going to have.

For this board, I want to remind you that
regulatory capture, being forced to go along with something because it's pushed upon us, that doesn't trump human rights. The regulatory capture doesn't trump constitutional rights. And regulatory capture doesn't trump just simply doing the right thing.

I think that doing the right thing all the time is -- and my fear of doing the wrong thing -you know, folks are driven by positive things, success, and reward.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hartke, you have 30 seconds.

MR. HARTKE: Thank you. HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. MR. HARTKE: Other folks are driven by a fear of failure to disappoint, to let down your family, and let others down. I think that doing the right thing will be looked upon kindly upon your entry into heaven. Thank you. HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Hartke. Mr. Jim Reed?

Holly, do you have the spelling of his name?

COURT REPORTER: I do, from the first night.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
Mr. Reed, your time.
MR. REED: Thank you.
Thank you, zoning board members, county board members, everyone that has been involved in the hearing process for giving us an opportunity to be heard and express our views.

We've heard a lot of interesting things here. One of the ones that $I$ think is perhaps the most challenging to me is that we heard statements this needs to be for the public good. I do not think this is for the good of any public that is going to live anywhere near it. The sights, the sounds, the noise.

When we went through the first round of hearings, I signed up as neutral because I wanted to hear the evidence and upon hearing that evidence determined that it was not a good deal and formed my opinion this time to be opposed to it.

I do compliment Apex on their some modifications they have made. The fire suppression issue is huge. I compliment them on that. The question is: Has it gone far enough?

And I still do not believe the burden has

1 been made. We have heard recently about, you know, is there part of a road agreement that covers the decommissioning because that is going to be another uproar of our community, would they tear everything out and haul it out the roads.

We heard some of what $I$ think is really kind of incomplete data about recycling and the materials, about tonnage of waste that comes out of different projects; but if you notice through that there was no consideration of the waste that goes into the ground during construction. There are thousands of tons of concrete and steel that are land filled underneath our soil and on top of our aquifer during the construction phase, but they don't include that in any of their data, but that is still waste that will remain long after these structures are torn down and hauled away. What happens to that going forward into the next many decades, $I$ don't know.

Our family is sustainable. We have been here on the ground since 1888. That is far longer than any of these projects have or ever will be in operation.

So I challenge you to take the longer view

> 1 of what you are going to do here for our community. 2 The vast majority of people that have testified or 3 made comments in support of this are people that are 4 being paid or compensated or rewarded in some 5 fashion by the applicant. You need to consider that 6 as part of your decision.

I think that the application should be denied because they are going to base this on technical; but I think someone not being able to sleep or enjoy the property they live in, or their house, or their health, that is a technical consideration that is far beyond this nuts and bolts and measurements and math. So, I would ask you to deny that application on behalf of the citizens you represent. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much, Mr. Reed.

Ms. Claudia Coil?
All right, Ms. Coil, whenever you are ready, you may begin.

MS. COIL: Okay. The decision that the boards make is not based just on a yes or no vote. The decision needs to be really based on how comfortable are each of you with disrupting people's
lives. How much collateral damage is acceptable?
Tonight we heard from Vicki Howell the burdens, Gantzes, Mr. Smith, on their concerns for health and sound and property rights.

How many potential health issues are acceptable?

Apex still, and all the wind companies, still avoid discussing or measuring possible effects of low-density sound waves.

How much potential devaluation of houses is acceptable?

How much loss of potential buyers/loss of buyers is acceptable?

How much altering of the environment in general is acceptable?

It seems that to the wind industry and the state all collateral damage is acceptable.

Taking away the people's voice and vote of the people and the boards also was acceptable to them.

What will the state and cooperations take away next?

Do not lose your heritage and your legacy. Once it is gone, you will never have it back.

Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Coil. And Ms. Ryan, Susan Ryan?

Ms. Ryan, whenever you are ready, you may begin.

COURT REPORTER: I don't have the spelling.

MS. RYAN: Susan Ryan, $S-u-s-a-n$ R-y-a-n. HEARING OFFICER: Whenever you are ready, go ahead.

MS. RYAN: So I am three miles north of Farmer City and DeWitt County, just right close to the McLean County line, and we attended the DeWitt County hearings when they were starting the wind towers, and it's very similar to this and actually have the same people coming in. The property and economic value estimators, they are the same ones that came to DeWitt County's, and they also used White Construction.

There was some talk about curtailment. I guess I didn't catch the curtailment information before regarding bats and maybe more, but there is supposed to be -- just from my experience, there is supposed to be curtailment in DeWitt County for the
wind towers during severe thunderstorm warnings, and that has never happened. Since the plant started up -- plant -- wind towers/complex, they actually were fined $\$ 5,000$ because that is all we had was a $\$ 5,000$ fine if they did not fulfill that curtailment during a severe thunderstorm warning, and apparently -- so that was the end of June. They were charged by this current board who is not the same board that approved the wind towers in DeWitt County, and all they could do was fine $\$ 5,000$ for each time they did not curtail. Not per wind tower, just incident.

So at the end of June, they did not curtail, and they paid a $\$ 5,000$ fine. And then, in August, we had another severe thunderstorm warning in DeWitt County and there's videos of them definitely not curtailing.

So I think with these big companies, we might be paying a lot of it with subsidies, but it's more profitable, it appears, to just pay the little $\$ 5,000$ fine and not do what they said they were going to do in the agreement and then to continue on. That seems to be the process so far in DeWitt County.

The ADLS that was promised, it's still not
running in DeWitt County.
HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Ryan, you have 30
seconds.
MS. RYAN: Thank you for all of the time
that you have put into this. And I just want to
say, for the common good of our area, please vote
no. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Ryan.
Were there any other hands up that I
missed?
That concludes the public comment period.
Now there is an opportunity for a rebuttal
closing statement by the applicant.
Counsel, do you wish to address the board?
REBUTTAL CLOSING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT
MS. ANTONIOLLI: Thank you. Just a brief
one.
We heard a few comments tonight about
issues, what will the company do if there is an
issue, will the company be sold, and I can say that
the business model is to own and operate this
project. But even if this project is sold at some
future time, for whatever reason, the WECS -- you
already have put a very robust ordinance in place
that requires a complaint resolution process, and many times an issue like this is made part of the ordinance that approves a project like this and has made a condition that would pass on to a future owner, if that was the case.

So that's all I have in addition. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Counsel.

All right. As I understand it we have two issues to address before we take a recess. The first is the issue of the NRI/LESA, and the other is scheduling a night for the board's deliberations, discussion, and vote on its recommendation to the Piatt County Board.

Mr. Keyt, can you illuminate us on discussions, if you want, discussions you've had with Mr. Hess and Ms. Antoniolli?

MR. KEYT: I think, with the zoning board, the first zoning board, they do want to have at least a sampling of wind turbine sites in arriving at the scores. My understanding is that it would take probably a week for each one of those to be returned, and that the likelihood is that we'll be

```
able to reconvene and consider those in late
    September or early October. I believe that is what
    the board would like, is to at least have those
    samplings, if it could.
    HEARING OFFICER: Counsel, are you in
agreement on the sampling sites?
    MR. HESS: Yes, sir. We are in agreement
    with that, but we like late September a lot better
    than early October to begin.
    If I may respond, I think I'll try to
    directly answer your question.
    HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
    MR. HESS: We are in general agreement
    with what Mr. Keyt just said.
    HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do you have any
    other response with respect to this issue?
    MR. HESS: Yes, sir. Thank you,
    Mr. Kains, and I can be brief.
    At the beginning of this hearing we filed
        a prehearing objection with regard to the NRI. I am
        just going to call it the NRI issue. That
    objection, just to remind everyone, since it was a
    while, basically had two subparts. First was an
    objection to this ZBA even making a finding
```

regarding the NRI issue because -- remember? -- at that point we did not have an NRI report from the Soil and Water Conservation District.

The second part of that objection was to whether Piatt County could base a permitting decision on an NRI report because our argument is that violates state law.

Based on the evidence that was presented tonight, and with what I think I understood Mr. Keyt with what he's proposing, Prosperity Wind is willing to withdraw the objection as to a finding. So it's willing to narrow the objection. So we have no objection to the ZBA considering an NRI report to the Soil and Water Conversation District taking a little bit more time to provide a supplemental report. I don't mind proffering that we have provided additional information this evening to Ms. Gates so that she can do that.

What I would suggest, and what Prosperity Wind would wholeheartedly agree with, I believe the second issue that the ZBA is about to take up is a date to come back for deliberations, and our proposal would be that tonight the ZBA closes the evidence in this hearing except for the limited
purpose of giving leave for the Soil and Water Conservation District, if it wants to, to submit supplemental or multiple supplemental reports. We would have no objection to that, and we would not raise objections about missing statutory deadlines or whatever so long as it does not become a delay in this process and so long as the second part of our objection, about whether a permitting decision can be made based on an NRI report that was preserved is made part of the record. I don't think it needs to be ruled upon in this hearing. It is really about a final permitting decision. So long as that objection is preserved, we think this is a workable path forward. We would have no objection to that type of question.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Keyt?
MR. KEYT: That is fine. I think, if
there is to be an argument on whether or not the NRI/LESA score could be a basis of the decision, that is an argument for a different day. My understanding is the ZBA is okay with the sampling that we've discussed. I believe the ZBA is, based on my discussions with them. HEARING OFFICER: Is that correct,
Chairman?

```
    Mr. Harrington?
    MR. HARRINGTON: Just for our refresher,
how many sites are you sampling?
    MR. KEYT: I think there would be five
turbine sites in addition to a substation and a
    lay-down yard.
    HEARING OFFICER: Are you okay with that?
    MR. CHAMBERS: Well, yeah. I am okay with
    that.
    MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah. We can go with
    that.
    HEARING OFFICER: All right.
    MR. KEYT: Can I pause there for just a
    second?
    HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
    MR. CHAMBERS: Would that be evenly
    spaced?
    MR. KEYT: It would be a sampling from
    around the project as opposed to a concentrated
    area.
    In addition to the NRI/LESA issue, we need
        to get the transcripts from the court reporter back.
        The board needs all the documents or any evidence
```

because not every ZBA member has been here every night, so we need to make sure that they have time to read them.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
Yes. For the public's information, before a board member can vote on this application, this whole issue, they have to have been here for each hearing. And if they were not here -- Mr. Chambers missed one meeting, and Mr. Harrington missed one meeting -- they will read the transcripts of those of that particular session of the public hearing. Mr. Larson and Mr. Lovin have not been here at any of the sessions, any of the five nights. If they are to cast a vote, they will have -- they will be required to have read all five nights of transcripts because, if you are going to vote on something, you got to know what it is you are voting on.

Okay. All right. With that said, Mr. Wax?

MR. WAX: I would like to ask Mr. Keyt here: What is the scenario in terms of a particular date, a best estimation, that we think will happen?

But we don't have the transcripts completed, and the NRI/LESA evaluations are not
quite completed yet. What then?
MR. KEYT: Well, for sure they need to have the transcripts, so we would have to address that issue if and when it is proposed.

HEARING OFFICER: All right. Counsel, with your consent, I am going to close the evidence, as suggested by Mr. Hess, with the limited exception of the submission of the NRI/LESA reports by Soil and Water Conservation, okay?

Those will be the only documents that will be allowed to come into evidence from this point forward with respect to this particular public hearing. Would that be correct?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Yes. No objection.
MR. HESS: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Keyt?
MR. KEYT: I do not have an objection.
HEARING OFFICER: No objection, okay.
So the evidence is closed now. Everything
that has been said, everything, written documentation, that has been submitted by way of exhibits or written public comment, they are in the record, and they will be considered by the Zoning Board of Appeals when the voting occurs, and they
will also be sent on to the Piatt County Board for its consideration.

The evidence is closed with the limited exception of the NRI/LESA reports from the Soil and Water Conservation District.

The next step is for the zoning board to deliberate, discuss, and vote on the application. You've heard that that, obviously, can't happen tonight because the board will need transcripts to review, and the Soil and Water Conservation District will need to get their reports submitted.

Now, we'll open it up to a discussion, first among board members, for -- well, first of all, Mr. Chairman, we are going to need a motion, a second, and a vote to cancel the remaining scheduled dates of this hearing. That would be a motion that we would need, Mr. Chairman, to cancel September 6, September 7, September 8, and September 11.

MR. WAX: All right. Group, we need a motion to cancel the dates 6, 7, 8, and 11. Do I hear a motion?

MR. CHAMBERS: I'll make that motion.
MR. HARRINGTON: Second.
MR. WAX: We have a motion and second to
cancel the dates in September. Any discussion?

MR. HARRINGTON: No.
MR. WAX: Okay. Roll call, please.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Harrington?
MR. HARRINGTON: Yes.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Chambers?

MR. CHAMBERS: Yes.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Wax?
MR. WAX: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER: All right. Now we need to move on to discussion and a motion. First a discussion probably for a motion to be made with respect to dates in late September, early October.

Mr. Chairman?
MR. WAX: Let's open this up. Jim and Will, what days are you -- what do you have available in early October?

MR. CHAMBERS: So a question maybe for Andy first before we get into that is: What was our expected reasonable timeframe to expect the reports to be done?

MR. KEYT: I think it's about a month, roughly.

MR. CHAMBERS: And then the county board
meeting was the --
MR. KEYT: I believe it is scheduled for the 11th.

MS. NUSBAUM: It is the second Wednesday.
MR. CHAMBERS: Which would be the 11th.
Okay. So, just looking at a calendar here, I would lean toward the first week of October, which would be Monday would be the 2 nd and Tuesday would be the 3rd. Just looking at a calendar and how we would run the schedule on these hearings, I would be looking at the 3 rd.

Anybody else have any thoughts?
MR. WAX: Taking your suggestion, the 3rd is a possibility.

MR. HARRINGTON: That is as good as anything.

MR. CHAMBERS: And then we would probably have the 4 th as a possible backup day if needed, and then there would still be, you know, a week from that date to the county board meeting.

MR. WAX: Sounds like a reasonable idea to have a date and a possible backup date at that point in time.

```
MR. HARRINGTON: As long as Andy is good
```

with that.
MR. KETY: Yeah. Those two dates are fine with me, first of all.

Second of all, I would suggest we have the 3rd with a potential backup date for if we have to, if for some reason, we can't finish the 3rd, if you want to finish on the 4 th.

MR. WAX: Makes sense. If you are roughly okay with this, let's have a motion then to have the 3rd as a primary date with a possible backup date of the 4th.

MR. HARRINGTON: I make a motion.
HEARING OFFICER: Got a motion?
MR. CHAMBERS: Second.
MR. WAX: Any more discussion?
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Chairman, if I could interject just a question for Ms. Antoniolli and Mr. Hess.

October 3rd or 4th, are they -- are you available?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Both dates work. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good.
MR. WAX: You are available. We are

```
available.
```

Roll call, please?
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Chambers?
MR. CHAMBERS: Yes.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Harrington?
MR. HARRINGTON: Yes.

MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Wax?
MR. WAX: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good. That motion carries.

So the discussion, deliberations, vote on conditions for the permit, would it be approved, and the discussion, deliberations, and vote on findings of facts will be heard on October 3, 5:30 p.m.

Is this room available?
MS. NUSBAUM: I am waiting to hear back, but it will probably be either here or upstairs. Christopher is on his way to look at the book.

HEARING OFFICER: If we are all available and ready to go, and we don't have a room, we'll be like DeWitt County and do it in the park or what have you.

We will have a vote on this application on -- we are going to schedule a session of this public
hearing 5:30 p.m. to approximately 9:30 p.m., on October 3, 2023, and we also will have a session on October 4, 2023, also at 5:30 p.m. So those are the two dates to continue this public hearing; is that correct, Mr. Chairman?

MR. WAX: That would be correct.
HEARING OFFICER: All right. Very good. Then, rather than adjourning, because we can't adjourn a meeting that is going to continue on, the board will --

Anything further from Counsel?
MR. HESS: No, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Anything further,
Mr. Keyt?
MR. KEYT: No, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Anything further,
Mr. Chairman?
MR. WAX: No, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: The board is in recess until October 3, 2023, at 5:30 p.m., in this room. MS. NUSBAUM: He just told me it is open. HEARING OFFICER: In this very room. Isn't technology great?

Thank you. We'll see you October 3rd.
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| 26:8, 33:4, 42:10 | , 116:2, 141:19 | bird [5] - 59:4, 59:17, | 126:3, 129:24, | 68:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $45: 6,53: 13,56: 15,$ | basket $[1]$ - 119:15 | 59:18, 59:20, 60 | 131:4, 131:5, 136:8, | ruce [1] - 19:3 |
| $83: 14,109: 6,117: 3$ | bat [3]-17:15, 48:4 | bir | 37:14, 138:1 | ush [1] - 62 |
| audible [5] - 39:2, $41: 18,75: 3,76: 2$ | :1 | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 23,58: 24,59: \\ & 59: 9,59: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 138:20, 139:3 | build [1] - 40:18 |
|  | 17:4, 17:7, 25 | bit [13]-13:7, 27 | 145: |  |
| audiologist ${ }_{[1]}$ | 9:24, 135:2 | :12 | 13, 146: |  |
| Audubon [1] - 59:6 | batteries [5] - 30 | 19, 52:12, 95:10 | 20, 150:10 | 4, 1 |
| August [5] - 37:14, | $4: 9,34: 13,34: 18$ | 00:23, 122:13 | 150:19 | uilds |
| 73:13, 91:1, 101:2 | 34:19 | 128:1 | board's [1]-138:13 | built [3] - $38: 2$ |
| 13 | battery [2] - 35:2, 35:6 | 140:15 | boards [3] - 28:1 | 115:10, 121 |
| auth | beaches [1]-74:18 | bl | 133:22, 134:19 | 5:5, |
| authority [2] - 32:6, | beat | blade [1] - 118:1 | bodies [1] - 119 | bullying [1] - 68: |
| 114:7 | beautiful ${ }_{[1]}-74: 19$ | blades [4]-75:2, | body [1] - 106:23 | burden [4]-61 |
| authorization | beauty [1] - 77:2 | 8:4, 1 | bold | 89:9, 129:13, 131 |
| 85:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Beazly }[2]-66: 16, \\ & 68: 1 \end{aligned}$ | blast [1]-48:14 blighted [1] - 31:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 13,79: 15,80: 9, \\ & 82: 12,83: 3,83: 5, \end{aligned}$ | burdens [1]-134:3 <br> burn [1]-51:11 |
| authorized [1] - 85 |  |  |  |  |
| available [9] - 4:10, | BEAZLY $_{[1]}-66: 17$ <br> become [3]-75:16, 87:23, 141:6 | bling [1] - 34:23 | 83:7, 83:9, 83:10, | burning [1]-51:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 7,84: 17,146: 17, \\ & 148: 20,148: 24, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { blinking } \\ & \text { 126:14 }-76: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 11,83: 12,83: 13, \\ & 87: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Burton }[17]-19: 1, \\ & 19: 4,19: 12,19: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 9:1, 149:15 |  | - | bolded [4] - 80:5, 85:14, 85:21, 87:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:4, 19:12, 19:13, } \\ & \text { 19:14, 24:5, 24:6, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:19 } \\ & \text { averages }[1]-51: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bedroom }[3]-22: 18 \text {, } \\ & 22: 20,22: 23 \end{aligned}$ | blowing [2] - 48:3 48:6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 11,26: 2,26: 4, \\ & 26: 16,26: 20,71: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| avoid $[3]$ - 16:21, 17:4, | Beem [2] - 1:14, 3:15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Board } 488]-3: 11, \\ \text { 18:1, 24:7, 27:15, } \end{gathered}$ | bolts [1] - 133:12 | $72: 5,74: 9,78: 6$ |
| 134:8 | $\text { BEEM }_{[1]}-3: 16$ |  | book[1] - 149:18 <br> boon [2]-61:5, 61:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BURTON [8]-19:6, } \\ & \text { 19:12, 19:16, 19:20, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 106:22 | :5,68:5 | 40: | border [1] - 82:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:12, 19:16, 19:20, } \\ & \text { 20:1, 20:11, 24:2, } \\ & 26: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 3:24, 56:10 | borrow [1] - 121: |  |
| B | 118:6, 122:22 | $57: 12,58: 14,60: 11$ | bottom [2] - 8:6, 113:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Burton's }[2]-78: 5, \\ & 78: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 39: | :7, 66:18, 66:1 | boundaries [4] -80:10, 82:6, 83:4, | BURTON................. |
| baby $[1]-119: 18$ <br> backed $[6]-28: 24$, | BEHALF [2]-2:16, | 70:19, 71:23, 73:20, 73:22, 74:14, 74:20, |  | ........... [1]-2:2 |
| 30:8, 35:2, 35:6 |  | $74: 21,77: 2,$ | boys [1]-63:7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { business [3]-67:6, } \\ \text { 119:24, 137:21 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 35:16, 48:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Denalt }[4]-109: 1 \\ & \text { 110:12, 116:14 } \end{aligned}$ | 8:19, 79:19, 80: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Braden [2] - 33:18, } \\ & \text { 120:5 } \end{aligned}$ | butt [1] - 28:2 |
| background ${ }_{[2]}$ | 3:1 | :24, 85:1, 87:2 |  | buyers [1]-134:13 |
| 27:24, 29:24 | behind [1] - 111:9 | 9:11, 100:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRADEN }_{[2]}-33: 18 \text {, } \\ & 120: 5 \end{aligned}$ | buyers/loss [1] - |
| backup [4]-147:18, <br> 147:22, 148:5, | behind-the-scene | :22, 111:4, |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 147:22, 148:5, } \\ & 148: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $[1]-111: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:15, 144:24 } \\ & 145: 1 \end{aligned}$ | branded [1] - 68:17 <br> break [2] - 88:20, 89:2 | buzzing [1] - 38:4 <br> buzzwords [1] - 12:19 |
| backyard [7]-21:6 | Bellflower [1] - 22: <br> below [1]-85:17 | BOARD $_{[4]}-1: 1,1: 10$, | breath [1] - 48:14 |  |
| 21:14, 22:10, 23:2 | benefit [3]-61:8 | 1:1 | breathe ${ }_{[1]}-12: 23$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BY }_{[21]}-2: 3,2: 4,2: 4, \\ 2: 5,2: 7,2: 8,2: 9, \end{gathered}$ |
| :3, 56:3, 69:17 | 22, 69 | board [66] - 17:19, | Brianne [3]-90:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 5,2:,, 2: 8,2: 9, \\ & 2: 9,2: 10,24: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| [2] - 129:4, 129 | fited [1] - 61:13 | 17:20, 18:17, 19:23, | 91:1, 101:3 | $31: 18,32: 18,33: 24,$ |
| ballot [4]-21:20, 55:8, 70:1, 75:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lefits }[4]-62: 9, \\ & 7: 19.67: 23.12 \end{aligned}$ | $31: 9,32: 16,32: 21$ | Brie [2] - 108:1 109:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 52:23, 90:6, 90:15, } \\ & 92: 14,96: 6,104: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| bandwagon [1] - 120:1 | best [4]-24:15, 62:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 5,40: 6,43: 22 \\ & 3: 23,44: 12,46: 11 \end{aligned}$ | brief [4]-5:24, | 105:24, 107:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Barr [1] - 98:2 } \\ & \text { base [6] - 30:17, 48:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { better }[5]-52: 12, \\ 75: 16,85: 11, \\ \text { 125:10, 139:8 } \\ \text { between }[4]-61: 18, \end{gathered}$ | 47:3, 52:14, 53:7, <br> 54:24, 55:4, 55:5, |  | C |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:10, 49:13, 133:8, } \\ & 140: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 24,55: 4,55: 5, \\ & 58: 7,60: 1,60: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { briefly [3] - } 6: 19, \\ 88: 17,118: 8 \end{gathered}$ | C3 [1] - 96:24 |
| base-load [1] - 30:17 | 61:19, 97:21, 118:11 | $12,75: 13,86: 7$ | 16:9, 17:1, 28:9, | cables [2]-8:15, 8:17 |
| based [15] - 30:14, <br> $34: 4,35: 6,36 \cdot 8$ | beyond [5] - 80:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:23, 89:5, 90:21 } \\ & 99: 22,100: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 31:11, 35:2, 35:14, 51:8, 63:12, 70:10, | calendar [2] - 147:6, 147:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 34: 4,35: 6,36: 8, \\ & 50: 18,76: 9,96: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 10,105: 1,113: 2 \text {, } \\ & 133: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 100:14, 106: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:8, 63:12, 70:10, } \\ & 94: 21,112: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { calm [1] }-52: 11$ |
| 97:7, 122:24, 123:9, | $\text { big [4] - 49:6, } 73: \text { : }$ | :20, 107:4, | brings [2] - 58:19, | cancel [4]-145:15, |
| 3:22, 133:23, | 121:8, 136:17 | :17, 114:10, | 112:3brought [5]-15:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 145:17, 145:20, } \\ & \text { 146:1 } \\ & \text { canceled }[5]-100: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| :8, 141:9, 141:23 | biggest ${ }^{1]}$ - 59:7 |  |  |  |
| basis [4]-47:17, | bill [2] - 40:23, 46:12 |  | 5:21, 17:2, 67:4 |  |


| 100:7, 106:5, | chainsaws [1] - 62:3 | City [8]-4:21, 19:15, | Code [8] - 71:9, 80:5, | 106:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 106:14, 106:15 } \\ \text { capable }[1]-47: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chairman [5] - 1:10, } \\ 3: 22,66: 19,111: 3, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 16,27: 12,46: 4 \\ & 54: 15,128: 1,135: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:9, 81:13, 81:17, } \\ & 81: 21,82: 18,82: 22 \end{aligned}$ | communications [2] 47:16, $48 \cdot 23$ |
| capacity [1] - 124:6 | 142: | claim [1] - 95:2 | codes [1] - 79:18 | communist [2] - 87:1, |
| capitalize [1] - 14:18 | chairman [9]-62:14, | claimed [2]-60:2 | COIL [1] - 133:2 | 87:2 |
| capricious [2]-95:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:1, 106:7, } \\ & \text { 145:14, 145: } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coil [3] - 20:4, 133:18, } \\ & 133: 19 \end{aligned}$ | communities [5] - |
| capture [4] - 129:15, | 146:14, 148:16 | clamoring [1] - 29: | coil [5] - 125:6, 125:7, | 66:5, 118:15 |
| 130:1, 130:3, 130:4 | 150:5, 150:17 | clarifica | 125:11, 125:17, | community [16] - |
| ar [1] - 121:13 | challenge [1] - 132:24 | 32:19 | 135:2 | :19, 16:6, 17 |
| carbon [1] - 51:22 | challenging ${ }_{\text {[1] }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { clarify }[2]-29: 10, ~ \\ & 123: 6 \end{aligned}$ | COIL | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 23,56: 4,61: 14 \\ & 61: 20,63: 17,99: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { care }[7]-49: 19,63: 17, \\ 64: 21,65: 23,72: 22, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:10 } \\ & \text { chambers [2]-143:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 123:6 } \\ \text { clarity's } \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ [1] - 2: collaborating ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:20, 63:17, 99:15, } \\ & \text { 111:24, 118:15, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 72:24, 73:24 | cha | classes [1] - | 111:12 | :16, 129:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { career }[3]-27: 24, \\ & 28: 4,29: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHAMBERS }_{[13]}-3: 9, \\ 32: 18,32: 23,142: 9, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claudia [2] - 20:4, } \\ & \text { 133:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { collateral [2] - 134:1, } \\ & 134: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 132:4, } 133: 1 \\ \text { companies }[6] \end{gathered}$ |
| carefully [1] - 39:1 | 17, 145:22, | clean [12] - 12 | collected [1] - 81:18 | 13, 29:9, 59:1 |
| Carlson [3]-111:23, | 146:7, 146:18, |  | collector [2]-8:15, | 73:7, 134:7, 136:17 |
| 2:13, 112:22 | 147 | 16, 15:12, 15:17, | 8:1 | Company [1] - 78:19 |
| arried [2] - 4:11, 49:8 | 17, 148:1 | :23, 67:9 | Colleen [2] - 42:19, | company [6] - 50:13, |
| carries [1] - 149:10 | 149:4 | 67:10, 67:18 | 43:8 | 50:17, 50:19, |
| carry [1] - 49:21 | Chambers [3] - 1:11 | Clean [7]-62:18, | COLLEEN [3]-2:6, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 137:19, 137:20 } \\ \text { compare }[1]-14: 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| cars [2]-59:1, 120:22 | 3:8, 149:3 <br> CHAMBERS | 63:21, 64:11, 66:8, 66:21, 79:14, 85:16 | 42:21, 43:8 | compare [1] - 14:9 compared [2] - 95:9, |
| 82:8, 116:1, 138:5 | ...... [1]-2:4 | CLEAN [1]-1:2 | colliding [1] - 59:1 | 118:13 |
| case-by-case [1] - | Champaign [1] | cleaning [1] - 62: | combination [1] - 34:8 | comparison [1] - |
| 16:1 | 61:15 | clear [5] - 55:6, 55:9, | comfortable [1] - | 118:11 |
| cases [2]-113:4, | change [7]-8:6, 10:9, | 61:17, 61:22, 108:4 | 1 | compensated [1] - |
| 115:15 | 12:3, 59:5, 77:19 | clearly [2] - 68:16, | coming [4]-22:9, | 133:4 |
| $\operatorname{CASSIE}_{[3]}-2: 7,54: 6,$ 54:11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 113:24, } 114: 5 \\ \text { changed }[5]-44: 11, \end{gathered}$ | 115:7 | $32: 9,124: 3,135: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { compensation }[1] \text { - } \\ & 85: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cassie [3] - 54:3, | 55:11, 70:23, 76:3, | $41: 3,59: 4$ | $: 1,58: 2$ | complaint [5] |
| 1 , | 114:8 | Clinton | 8:11, 87:16, | 115:22, 115:23, |
| cast ${ }_{[1]}$ - 143:14 | changes []]-55:19, | 28:6, 40:2 | 110:1 | 116:3, 116:4, 138:1 |
| catch [1]-135:2 | 114:1, 124:7 | close [9]-17:17 | 0:18, 116:18 | complaints [3] - |
| category [1] - 39:11 | chapter [1]-80:1 | 38:16, 39:21, 53:1 | 16:19, 116:23, | 75:11, 75:15, 77:2 |
| Catherine [1]-19:12 | charge ${ }_{[1]}$ - 121:13 | 65:8, 110:20, | 17:4, 117:15, | complete [2]-100:18, |
| CATHERINE ${ }_{[3]}-2: 2$, | charged ${ }_{[1]}-136: 7$ | 119:21, 135:12 | 8:23, 124:22, | 115:21 |
| 19:6, 19:13 | chart [1] - 32:11 chatted ${ }_{[1]}$ - 106:12 | 144:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:14, 127:22, } \\ & 137: 11.144: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { completed }[3]-59: 19, \\ & 143: 24,144: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| cats [1] - 59:3 | chatted [1]-106:12 cheapest [1] - 14:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { closed [2] - 144:19, } \\ & \text { 145:3 } \end{aligned}$ | 137:11, 144:22 <br> COMMENTS [2] | 143:24, 144:1 <br> completely [2] - |
| caused [2] - 59:4, | Chicago [3]-21:2, | closely [2] - 49:2 | 2:11, 118:7 | 48:18, 120:21 |
| 83:23 | 25:1, 128:1 | 11:2 | comments [18] - 4:6, | compliance [3] - |
| ses [1] - 80:13 | Chief [1]-44:17 | closer [2]-21:9 | 4:7, 4:14, 6:4, 7:16, | 81:18, 82:5, 82:2 |
| causing [1] - 35:13 | children [2] - 85:18, | 24:21 | 7:22, 24:11, | complicated [1] - 73:5 |
| center [1] - 65:22 | 86:4 | closes [1] - 140:2 | :3, 68:4, 87:19, | complied [1] - 95:13 |
| Central [1] - 14:4 | choose [1] - 19:22 | closing [4] - 110:5 | 8:2, 111:6, 118:9, | compliment [2] - |
| central ${ }_{[1]}$ - 29:4 | chopping [1] - 15:8 chosen [1]-83:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:11, 110:19, } \\ & 137: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:21, 128:17, } \\ & 133: 3,137: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 131:20, 131:22 <br> comply [1] - 10:15 |
| certain $[5]$ - 17:5, <br> 17.6, 48:17, 59.22 | chosen [1]-83:3 <br> Chris [3]-74:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:13 } \\ & \text { CLOSING }[6]-2: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 133:3, 137:18 commercial [3] - | comply [1] - 10:15 <br> component $[1]$ - 113:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17: 6,48: 17,59: 22, \\ & 59: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $74: 14,78:$ | $2: 11,2: 16,110: 2$ | 61:11, 79:24, 80:1 | component $[1]-113.1$ components $[1]-80: 1$ |
| certainly [4] - 39:10, | Christopher [1] | $\begin{gathered} 118: 7,137: 15 \\ \text { C02 } 111-122: 15 \end{gathered}$ | commissioners [1] - | compromised [1] 30:10 |
| 39:22, 108:14, | 149:18 | CO2 [1] - 122:15 coal [10]-12:6, 12 | 44:16 <br> common [3] - 82:10 | 30:10 <br> computer [1]-151:5 |
| 124:14 <br> certainty [3] - 75:8, |  | $\text { 13:21, 13:22, } 14:$ | 87:1, 137:6 | computer-aided [1] |
| 112:3, 113:7 | 5 | 4:11, 14:12, 48:8, | commonly ${ }^{\text {[1] }}$ | 151:5 |
| CERTIFICATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | citizens [8]-40:15, | 49:6 | 102:13 | concentrated [1] - |
| 151:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:8, 74:22, 75:14, } \\ & 78: 20,85: 6,85: 23, \end{aligned}$ | coal-fired [1] - 12:10 code [2]-114:13, | communicate [2] - 107:3, 109:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 142:20 } \\ & \text { concern }[7]-37: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { certify }{ }_{[1]}-151: 5 \\ & \text { CH\&W }{ }_{[1]}-61: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 133:14 | $114: 14$ | communicated [1] - | 38:7, 39:5, 58:23, |


|  | ```95:21, 144:23 considering [1] - 140:13 consistent [5] - 40:21, 63:11, 65:11, 114:14, 124:12 consisting [1] - 74:11 consortium [1] - 119:11 conspiracy \({ }_{[1]}\) - 51:1 constant [1]-22:19 consternation [1] - 114:4 constituents [1] - 71:7 Constitution [5] - 79:9, 83:24, 84:11, 86:13, 87:4 constitutional [1] - 130:4 construct [2]-84:16, 121:3 constructed [1] - 60:22 Construction [1] - 135:19 construction [6] - 16:22, 17:8, 121:3, 121:7, 132:11, 132:14 construction/ operation [1]-61:3 consultants [8]- 18:16, 26:17, 36:6, 42:15, 45:15, 53:22, 56:24, 107:14 contact [1] - 28:5 containing [1] - 82:11 contemplating \({ }_{[1]}\) - 85:23 contiguous [2] - 82:10, 83:12 continuation [1] - 66:11 continue [14]-14:21, 30:5, 55:17, 56:3, 63:16, 65:10, 66:2, 66:6, 71:10, 74:3, 74:6, 136:21, 150:4, 150:9 continues [1] - 65:17 contract [2] - 85:13, 127:17 contracts [1] - 128:9 contractual \([1]-86: 11\) contrary [1] - 116:13 contributing [1] - 122:14 contribution [1] - 31:23 Control [3] - 77:2,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |





| ```Gates [8] - 40:23, 90:17, 91:1, 91:3, 101:3, 101:17, 103:6, 140:18 gates [5] - 101:20, 102:2, 102:16, 102:22, 103:1 gathering [1] - 81:22 Gazette [2]-37:23, 38:2 gearbox [1] - 51:19 General [1] - 50:14 general \([7]-4: 3\), 35:24, 40:8, 65:19, 116:11, 134:15, 139:13 generate [2]-49:7, 67:13 generated \([1]\) - 58:19 generating [1] - 48:18 generation [9]-12:12, 30:6, 30:16, 34:7, 51:4, 54:21, 55:18, 124:3, 124:10 Generation [1] - 47:14 generations [3] - 63:19, 66:6, 120:16 generator [3] - 35:6, 50:3, 51:20 generators [3]-34:15, 49:6, 50:5 gentleman \({ }_{[1]}\) - 72:6 gentlemen [1]-4:17 Geoffrey [2] - 66:16, 68:1 Gettysburg [1] - 72:4 giant [2]-16:11, 17:1 Gibson [1] - 128:1 GIS \(_{[1]}-97: 14\) given [3]-30:22, 32:8, 114:7 glass [2]-118:16, 118:17 goal [1]-124:12 goals [3] - 41:3, 60:6, 123:23 GODBEE \({ }_{[1]}\) - 68:7 Godbee [2] - 68:7, 70:15 golly [1] - 125:5 gonna [1] - 21:15 Goose [15] - 60:19, 61:3, 76:1, 94:5, 94:8, 94:12, 94:15, 94:20, 94:22, 95:1, 95:4, 95:10, 96:3, 112:1, 113:19 governing [1] - 119:1 government [13] - \(13: 15,18: 4,26: 5\),``` | ```33:2, 42:7, 45:3, 53:10, 56:12, 73:9, 96:15, 96:17, 116:20, 116:22 governments [2] - 13:16, 114:6 governor [2] - 71:8, 74:1 grade \({ }_{[2]}-61: 23,62: 1\) grain [1] - 77:16 Grand [1] - 65:21 grandchildren [1] - 63:5 granddaughters [1] - 63:4 grandfather \({ }_{[1]}\) - 47:8 grandma [1] - 66:24 grant [4]-61:14, 61:20, 62:5, 114:2 grants [1] - 30:12 grateful [1]-63:6 gravel [2] - 7:3, 9:23 great \([7]\)-11:15, 35:20, 47:8, 63:5, 73:8, 75:8, 150:23 great-grandchildren [1]-63:5 great-grandfather [1] -47:8 green [2]-29:9, 51:5 greenhouse [1] - 41:4 greetings [1] - 128:20 grew [3]-11:22, 66:23 grid [28] - 28:3, 28:6, 28:11, 30:3, 30:7, 30:9, 30:13, 32:5, 34:2, 34:7, 34:14, 34:17, 34:22, 35:15, 47:18, 49:2, 49:4, 49:5, 49:18, 49:22, 50:1, 50:2, 50:6, 69:16, 119:8, 119:9, 123:13, 124:13 Grocery [1] - 117:24 ground [8]-44:6, 47:8, 65:1, 65:10, 65:16, 122:14, 132:11, 132:21 Groundhog [1] - 55:4 Group [1] - 61:16 group [3]-28:24, 62:3, 145:19 grow [1] - 63:14 guaranteed [1]-87:3 guess [5] - 24:14, 34:18, 104:16, 104:19, 135:21 guidance [2]-81:22, 96:19 guidelines [2]-59:11,``` | 59:15 <br> Guy [1] - 117:24 <br> guys [1]-126:18 <br> H <br> HARRINGTON. $\begin{aligned} & \ldots . . . . .[3]-2: 3,2: 4, \\ & 2: 10 \\ & \text { harrowing }[1]-17: 20 \\ & \text { HARTKE }[4]-57: 14, \\ & \text { 128:20, 130:12, } \\ & \text { 130:14 } \\ & \text { Hartke }[15]-37: 22, \\ & 38: 2,57: 13,78: 14, \\ & 78: 15,78: 22,82: 12, \\ & 86: 10,87: 14,125: 2, \\ & \text { 125:17, 128:19, } \\ & \text { 128:21, 130:10, } \\ & 130: 19 \end{aligned}$ <br> Hartke's [3] - 37:24, <br> 57:19, 81:8 <br> HARTKE. $\qquad$ <br> harvesting [1] - 14:20 <br> hate [1]-23:13 <br> haul ${ }_{[1]}$ - 132:5 <br> hauled [1] - 132:17 | ```hauling \([3]-6: 24\), 8:10, 8:17 Havana [1] - 61:15 hazardous [1] - 12:24 head [1]-62:8 head-to-toe [1] - 62:8 headaches [2] - 22:22, 38:14 heal [1] - 12:3 health \([7]-37: 10\), 77:6, 85:10, 116:11, 133:11, 134:4, 134:5 hear [8]-13:2, 25:11, 70:21, 106:21, 114:5, 131:17, 145:21, 149:16 heard [25]-4:2, 44:18, 47:12, 70:24, 75:15, 79:3, 100:7, 103:12, 112:6, 112:13, 114:4, 115:1, 122:24, 123:1, 123:5, 123:14, 131:7, 131:8, 131:10, 132:1, 132:6, 134:2, 137:18, 145:8, 149:14``` <br> HEARING $_{[148]}-1: 8$, 3:1, 3:22, 5:1, 5:11, $6: 8,7: 9,7: 14,7: 17$, 8:1, 8:14, 9:2, 9:7, 9:10, 10:4, 10:14, 10:18, 10:22, 11:3, 11:5, 11:10, 11:14, 17:23, 18:11, 19:9, 19:14, 19:18, 19:21, 20:9, 24:1, 24:4, 26:1, 26:12, 26:23, 27:5, 27:10, 27:14, 27:19, 31:13, 32:15, 32:24, 33:8, 33:20, 35:22, 36:17, 36:22, 37:2, 42:1, 42:14, 43:2, 43:10, 43:12, 43:15, 44:22, 45:10, 45:22, 46:3, 46:6, 46:9, 46:14, 46:20, 46:23, 47:2, 52:17, 53:6, 53:17, 54:9, 54:13, 54:17, 56:8, 56:19, 57:17, 57:24, 88:5, 88:8, 88:21, 89:1, 89:4, 89:8, 89:16, 89:23, 90:2, 90:11, 92:6, 92:9, 95:8, 96:2, 103:14, 103:17, 103:20, 104:1, 104:11, 105:4, 105:12, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | hydro [2]-48:9, 49:6 hydrology [1] - 98:2 hydropower [1] 120:13 <br> I <br> I/if [1] - 25:2 <br> ID [2] - 91:8, 91:10 <br> idea [1] - 147:21 <br> identify [2] - 77:17, <br> 90:8 <br> IEEE [1] - 50:23 <br> IEPA [1] - 77:4 <br> ignoring [3] - 83:19, <br> 86:12, 86:16 <br> ILCS [1] - 80:12 <br> illegal [2] - 85:2, 86:9 <br> Illinois [49]-11:24, <br> 12:6, 12:9, 14:4, <br> 16:17, 28:23, 29:2, <br> 29:4, 29:5, 44:9, <br> 59:21, 60:10, 62:13, <br> 64:3, 64:5, 65:23, <br> 66:13, 66:17, 67:4, <br> 68:2, 71:9, 77:1, <br> 77:3, 77:15, 78:3, <br> 78:14, 79:8, 79:17, <br> 79:19, 80:3, 80:4, <br> 80:13, 80:15, 81:9, <br> 81:12, 81:17, 81:21, <br> 81:22, 82:18, 82:22, <br> 87:8, 87:15, 97:22, <br> 115:16, 123:18, <br> 123:22, 124:4, <br> 129:21 <br> ILLINOIS [1] - 1:1 <br> Illinois' [1] - 124:12 <br> illuminate [1] - 138:16 <br> illuminated [1] - 76:9 <br> imagine [3] - 31:1, <br> 126:16, 129:11 <br> immediately [1] - 8:10 <br> immensely [1] - 65:4 <br> imminent [1]-85:4 <br> Impact [8]-90:14, <br> 97:2, 97:10, 97:21, <br> 98:19, 98:23, 99:8, <br> 100:13 <br> impact [3]-69:15, <br> 97:18, 99:16 <br> impacted [2] - 102:3, 102:6 <br> impacting [1] - 17:15 <br> impacts [5] - 12:11, <br> 16:22, 17:4, 85:10, <br> 122:8 <br> impediment [1] - <br> 84:19 <br> implement [1] - 16:17 | ```implications [1] - 71:13 important [3] - 60:4, 79:10, 129:6 impose [1] - 95:22 improper [1]-122:7 improved \({ }_{[1]}\) - 112:2 improvements [1] - 113:9 IN [2] - 2:11, 118:7 inability [1] - 38:14 inaudible [1] - 38:11 incident \({ }_{[1]}\) - 136:11 incidental \({ }_{[1]}\) - 17:12 include \([7]\) - 78:10, 93:10, 93:22, 94:13, 97:9, 102:12, 132:15 included [4] - 7:5, 76:19, 93:6, 97:16 includes [7]-6:18, 59:22, 84:1, 86:3, 86:13, 97:14, 115:17 including [13] - 18:4, 26:5, 33:2, 42:7, 45:3, 53:10, 56:12, 61:14, 75:3, 93:12, 111:22, 112:12, 116:20 income [4] - 63:12, 63:24, 66:11, 112:9 incomplete [1]-132:7 incorporated [1] - 87:4 increase [1] - 122:11 increased \({ }^{[1]}\) - 75:21 increases [1]-63:13 incredible [2] - 51:14, 52:1 indefinitely \({ }_{[1]}\) - 120:16 Independent [2] - 32:4, 123:7 independent [2] - 108:6, 123:8 indicated \([1]\) - 127:19 indicates [1] - 19:15 individual [4] - 73:16, 86:21, 86:22, 106:19 individuals [2]- 63:23, 66:9 industrial \([3]\) - 70:2, 70:10, 71:1 Industrial \({ }_{[1]}-47: 13\) industries [1] - 14:9 industry [6] - 12:6, 13:15, 14:8, 29:3, 113:2, 134:16 industry's [1] - 118:19 inefficient \({ }_{[1]}\) - 40:19 inertia [1] - 34:19``` | ```Information [2] - 90:21, 105:9 information [17] - 17:2, 31:23, 93:5, 93:11, 96:21, 97:8, 97:10, 102:13, 102:15, 103:2, 103:5, 106:16, 108:5, 110:10, 135:21, 140:17, 143:5 infrasound [6] - 38:8, 38:11, 39:2, 41:18, 75:3, 76:22 infrastructure [2] - 48:21, 48:23 inside [1] - 85:19 insight [1] - 47:20 insist [2]-83:15, 83:19 inspire [1] - 12:3 install [1] - 121:20 installed [2]-112:24, 121:16 installing [1] - 121:17 instance [1] - 116:3 instantly [2]-35:3, 35:8 instead [3] - 30:15, 40:19, 73:9 Institute [1]-50:22 instructions [1] - 81:19 instrument [2]-82:6, 84:17 instrumental [1] - 29:12 insufficient \({ }_{[1]}\) - 79:7 insurmountable [1] - 14:2 intact [1] - 65:14 interact [1] - 122:13 interacting [1] - 47:17 interest \({ }_{[2]}\) - 28:19, 121:11 interested [16] - 18:6, 18:12, 26:7, 26:13, 33:3, 33:9, 34:2, 42:9, 45:5, 45:11, 53:12, 53:18, 56:14, 56:20, 104:2, 117:2 interesting [3] - 32:12, 122:16, 131:8 interject \({ }_{[1]}\) - 148:17 intermittent [5] - 30:7, 30:13, 49:4, 52:1, 121:12 interrupt [1] - 104:23 intolerable [3] - 39:3, 41:18, 41:22``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 35:9, 38:8, 48:4, } \\ 48: 24,49: 5,64: 2, \\ 72: 17,119: 10, \\ 122: 12,132: 7 \\ \text { kindly }[1]-130: 17 \\ \text { Kitzmiller }[2]-58: 12, \\ 60: 8 \\ \text { knowing }[1]-38: 20 \\ \text { knowledge }[7]-91: 3, \\ 93: 19,95: 1,98: 20, \\ \text { 103:7, 108:20, 115:2 } \\ \text { known }[3]-15: 11, \\ \text { 102:13, } 113: 22 \\ \text { knows }[1]-84: 15 \end{gathered}$  | ```89:24, 92:16, 93:16, 105:18, 120:4, 125:22 late [5] - 38:21, 99:5, 139:1, 139:8, 146:13 latter [1] - 96:17 laughable [1] - 60:24 law [12]-29:1, 29:6, 29:10, 29:13, 71:6, 79:8, 80:18, 105:8, 108:13, 113:24, 114:5, 140:7 lawful [1] - 95:14 lawn [1]-120:22 lawsuits [1] - 29:8 lawyer [1] - 109:2 lay [1] - 142:7 lay-down [1] - 142:7 lays [1] - 93:5 leaches [1]-14:2 lead [1]-14:3 leaders [1] - 17:18 leaking \([1]\) - 128:3 lean [1] - 147:7 learn [2]-60:17, 67:23 learned [1] - 59:14 lease [1] - 84:18 leaseholders [1] - 69:2 leases) [1] - 84:7 leasing [1] - 62:20 least [6] - 70:4, 78:11, 82:7, 83:7, 138:21, 139:3 leave [6] - 14:14, 37:20, 51:23, 63:15, 124:17, 141:1 led \({ }_{[1]}-111: 10\) left [2] - 4:2, 75:6 legacies [2]-55:15, 66:11 legacy [5] - 63:15, 65:17, 65:18, 66:5, 134:23 legal \([2]-37: 13,71: 3\) legality \([1]\) - 108:12 legalized [1] - 83:22 legislation [1] - 40:4 legislators [1] - 129:21 length [1] - 69:10 less [5] - 39:12, 39:14, 41:6, 65:7, 86:24 letter [11] - 58:9, 60:9, 62:12, 64:4, 66:14, 70:16, 78:13, 79:10, 81:8, 87:11, 87:17 letters [8]-6:4, 7:10, 57:11, 58:3, 58:5,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:10, 68:3, 68:13 } \\ & \text { level }[11]-37: 17, \\ & 37: 21,38: 1,38: 3, \\ & 80: 21,81: 14,81: 15, \\ & 82: 2,82: 4,82: 23, \\ & 113: 2 \\ & \text { levels }[5]-41: 17, \\ & 41: 22,80: 24,83: 15, \\ & 86: 17 \\ & \text { liable }[1]-129: 16 \\ & \text { license }[1]-84: 18 \\ & \text { licensed }[8]-18: 7, \\ & 26: 8,33: 4,42: 10, \\ & 45: 6,53: 13,56: 15, \\ & 117: 2 \\ & \text { licenses }[1]-84: 7 \\ & \text { lie }[1]-19: 19 \\ & \text { life }[3]-55: 16,80: 23, \\ & 120: 15 \\ & \text { lifelong }[1]-77: 22 \\ & \text { lifestyle }[1]-55: 15 \\ & \text { light }[2]-15: 5 \\ & \text { lights }[8]-22: 19, \\ & 22: 21,75: 1,76: 9, \\ & 76: 12,76: 14,126: 14 \\ & \text { likelihood }[1]-138: 24 \\ & \text { likely }[2]-76: 20, \\ & 77: 12 \\ & \text { limit }[4]-37: 13,37: 18, \\ & 77: 9,120: 13 \\ & \text { limitation }[1]-79: 24 \\ & \text { limitations }[1]-80: 3 \\ & \text { limited }[4]-77: 7, \\ & 140: 24,144: 7,145: 3 \\ & \text { limits }[1]-77: 1 \\ & \text { Lincoln }[1]-72: 8 \\ & \text { Lincoln's }[1]-72: 4 \\ & \text { line }[12]-7: 1,82: 7, \\ & 82: 14,83: 8,83: 11, \\ & 83: 13,83: 20,86: 6, \\ & 86: 19,135: 13 \\ & \text { lined }[1]-76: 16 \\ & \text { lines }[4]-8: 8,49: 9, \\ & 82: 9,86: 18 \\ & \text { list }[4]-4: 15,4: 18, \\ & 5: 2,5: 3 \\ & \text { listen }[6]-47: 7,55: 20, \\ & 55: 23,56: 4,75: 11, \\ & 111: 5 \\ & \text { listened }[1]-111: 24 \\ & \text { listeners }[1]-75: 16 \\ & \text { listening }[6]-43: 23, \\ & 47: 4,74: 5,74: 7, \\ & 127: 14,128: 16 \\ & \text { literally }[1]-21: 5 \\ & \text { live }[30]-11: 18,22: 7, \\ & 22: 17,23: 4,38: 16, \\ & 38: 20,38: 23,43: 10, \\ & 46: 19,47: 12,47: 15, \\ & 54: 21,55: 15,59: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
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| ```resident [10]-11:17, 27:20, 43:17, 46:3, 54:14, 58:13, 58:15, 62:16, 74:16, 77:22 Resident [1] - 60:8 residential [3]-83:15, 83:16, 84:22 residents [14]-20:6, 20:12, 23:22, 37:8, 55:7, 55:23, 68:9, 68:19, 70:2, 71:2, 72:23, 81:3, 86:10, 115:13 resilience [1] - 15:24 resolution [5] - 115:21, 115:22, 116:1, 116:2, 138:1 resource [4]-14:18, 14:24, 15:14, 124:9 Resource [11] - 90:13, 90:20, 97:2, 97:10, 97:20, 98:19, 98:23, 99:8, 100:13, 101:7, 105:9 Resources [2] - 16:18, 17:11 respect [11] - 55:23, 92:10, 105:3, 105:9, 105:15, 107:11, 108:22, 114:8, 139:16, 144:12, 146:13 respected [2] - 39:6, 50:24 respectfully \({ }_{[2]}\) - 104:24, 116:15 respond \([3]\) - 34:22, 95:7, 139:10 responding [1] - 118:8 response [3]-95:12, 115:20, 139:16 responsive \({ }_{[1]}\) - 127:13 rest [1] - 116:4 Rest \({ }_{[1]}\) - 61:23 restate [2]-90:19, 111:2 restrictive [1] - 80:2 result [2] - 81:2, 86:5 resume [1]-29:11 retire [1] - 77:23 retirement \({ }_{[1]}\)-63:10 retiring \({ }_{[1]}\) - 14:12 returned \({ }_{[1]}\) - 138:24 revenue [8]-15:19, 58:20, 61:6, 63:11, 65:2, 65:12, 67:14, 112:11 revenues \([1]\) - 61:7``` | ```review [6] - 7:20, 16:13, 96:18, 114:18, 115:3, 145:10 reviewed [1] - 79:5 revise [1]-95:17 revised [4] - 7:22, 9:17, 93:15, 113:13 revisions [1] - 92:21 reward [1] - 130:9 rewarded [1] - 133:4 rid [1] - 41:4 ride [1] - 65:24 riding [2] - 65:22, 66:3 rights [11]-44:9, 84:1, 84:2, 84:3, 84:14, 86:22, 86:24, 87:3, 130:3, 130:4, 134:4 risks [1] - 115:11 Rivers [3] - 12:1, 12:5, 16:1 road [8]-25:5, 65:7, 75:2, 76:19, 112:15, 112:17, 112:19, 132:2 Road [3] - 41:13, 61:23, 104:21 roads [2]-69:12, 132:5 roadway [1] - 77:10 robs [1] - 64:17 robust [3]-115:9, 115:21, 137:24 role [3]-28:5, 114:20, 123:3 roll [3]-3:1, 146:3, 149:2 roofs [1]-122:1 room [9]-4:1, 23:13, 124:20, 125:9, 125:12, 149:15, 149:20, 150:20, 150:22 Ross [2]-62:13, 64:2 rotor [1]-51:18 roughly [2] - 146:23, 148:8 round [1]-131:15 Route [2]-41:14, 128:1 routes [1] - 59:17 row [2] - 124:21, 125:5 rows [4]-8:9, 8:12, 110:15, 125:3 RPR \(_{[1]}-1: 23\) ruin [1] - 31:11 rule [4]-27:20, 37:3, 46:10, 54:18 ruled [2]-95:18, 141:11``` | ```ruler [1] - 39:11 rules [3]-79:18, 84:10, 86:18 run [2]-14:22, 147:10 running [5] - 37:16, 48:13, 49:12, 111:13, 137:1 runs [1]-77:4 rural \([5]\) - 11:17, 11:18, 15:24, 55:16, 77:15 Ryan [22] - 26:24, 27:1, 27:5, 27:8, 31:3, 31:13, 31:14, 32:16, 33:1, 33:21, 36:3, 36:9, 36:10, 125:7, 125:11, 125:17, 135:3, 135:4, 135:8, 137:2, 137:8``` <br> RYAN $[8]$ - 27:2, 27:8, <br> 27:13, 27:17, 27:23, <br> 135:8, 135:11, 137:4 <br> RYAN........................ <br> -n=-n=. [1]-2.3 <br> RYAN. $\qquad$ [1] - 2:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:24, 147:10, } \\ & \text { 149:24 } \\ & \text { scheduled }[2]- \\ & \text { 145:15, 147:2 } \\ & \text { scheduling [1] - } \\ & \text { 138:13 } \\ & \text { school }[8]-18: 5, \\ & 26: 5,33: 2,42: 7, \\ & \text { 45:3, 53:10, 56:12, } \\ & \text { 116:20 } \\ & \text { schools }[1]-112: 12 \\ & \text { scientists }[1]-13: 8 \\ & \text { scope }[1]-105: 1 \\ & \text { score }[3]-91: 17, \\ & 105: 15,141: 19 \\ & \text { scored }[1]-91: 24 \\ & \text { scores }[1]-138: 22 \\ & \text { scoring }[1]-91: 19 \\ & \text { Scott }[3]-1: 9,60: 10, \\ & 62: 11 \\ & \text { script }[1]-27: 18 \\ & \text { se }[1]-44: 4 \\ & \text { seasons }[1]-17: 6 \\ & \text { seat }[1]-36: 11 \\ & \text { seated }[2]-5: 14, \\ & 125: 6 \\ & \text { second }[23]-5: 15, \\ & 6: 9,6: 23,38: 6,38: 7, \\ & 41: 16,46: 1,47: 11, \\ & 71: 22,82: 2,95: 13, \\ & 127: 23,140: 4, \\ & 140: 21,141: 7, \\ & 142: 15,145: 15, \\ & 145: 23,145: 24, \\ & 147: 4,148: 4,148: 14 \\ & \text { secondary }[1]-115: 6 \\ & \text { seconds }[3]-122: 4, \\ & 130: 11,137: 3 \\ & \text { Section }[6]-79: 20, \\ & 80: 7,80: 11,81: 10, \\ & 82: 19,93: 4 \\ & \text { section }[12]-8: 7, \\ & 8: 13,62: 2,71: 21, \\ & 71: 22,72: 1,72: 2, \\ & 73: 19,81: 5,81: 24, \\ & 82: 15,82: 16 \\ & \text { sections }[1]-110: 15 \\ & \text { sector }[2]-12: 8, \\ & 86: 23 \\ & \text { security }[5]-48: 22, \\ & 63: 8,63: 14,65: 12, \\ & 113: 15 \\ & \text { see }[32]-8: 7,8: 8, \\ & 8: 21,14: 15,15: 15, \\ & 16: 16,22: 7,22: 18, \\ & 22: 21,30: 23,32: 8, \\ & 47: 21,48: 16,62: 9, \\ & 63: 6,75: 8,93: 22, \\ & 102: 8,104: 18, \\ & 107: 3,117: 13, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 117: 16,117: 17, \\ 120: 23,124: 21, \\ 124: 23,126: 9, \\ 128: 6,128: 12, \\ 129: 4,150: 24 \\ \text { seed }[1]-67: 3 \\ \text { seeing }[1]-67: 11 \\ \text { seem }[1]-72: 22 \\ \text { sees }[2]-35: 10, \\ 112: 19 \\ \text { segment }[1]-85: 21 \\ \text { Seibring }[1]-112: 14 \\ \text { Selection }[1]-83: 1 \\ \text { sell }[3]-37: 22,47: 16, \\ 64: 24 \\ \text { sending }[1]-71: 18 \\ \text { Senior }[1]-1: 22 \\ \text { sense }[5]-50: 20, \\ 51: 23,102: 6,128: 8, \\ 148: 8 \\ \text { sent }[2]-46: 12,145: 1 \\ \text { sentence }[1]-83: 18 \\ \text { sentiment }[1]-38: 6 \\ \text { September }[18]-60: 9, \\ 62: 12,64: 4,66: 16, \\ 68: 6,70: 17,71: 15, \\ 74: 11,78: 17,94: 10, \\ 139: 2,139: 8, \\ 145: 17,145: 18, \\ 146: 1,146: 13 \\ \text { seriously }[2]-115: 23, \\ 116: 5 \\ \text { seriousness }[1]- \\ 72: 16 \\ \text { serve }[1]-124: 10 \\ \text { served }[1]-28: 12 \\ \text { serves }[1]-70: 3 \\ \text { service }[3]-31: 7, \\ 64: 21,65: 1 \\ \text { services }[1]-65: 4 \\ \text { serving }[1]-17: 19 \\ \text { session }[5]-75: 24, \\ 88: 10,143: 11, \\ 149: 24,150: 2 \\ \text { sessions }[1]-143: 13 \\ \text { set }[5]-59: 11,79: 8, \\ 79: 23,82: 5,106: 19 \\ \text { setback }[3]-25: 13, \\ 83: 9,115: 18 \\ \text { setbacks }[1]-16: 20 \\ \text { sets }[1]-30: 20 \\ \text { seven }[4]-39: 13, \\ 39: 14,113: 21,115: 5 \\ \text { seventy }[2]-21: 22, \\ 72: 18 \\ \text { several }[7]-74: 24, \\ 75: 18,92: 17,98: 3, \\ 101: 16,102: 4,111: 2 \\ \text { severe }[4]-81: 2, \\ 136: 1,136: 6,136: 14 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```Seymour \({ }_{[1]}-61: 18\) shadow [4] - 75:4, 77:7, 113:12, 115:18 Shady [1] - 61:23 shall [4]-82:13, 87:8, 87:9, 114:16 shame [2]-73:8, 73:10 shapefile [1] - 102:13 shapefiles [2] - 102:16, 102:19 share [3]-11:20, 55:1, 82:10 shaves [1] - 49:19 shed [1] - 50:2 sheet \([5]\) - 4:23, 19:15, 27:10, 54:14, 57:5 sheets [2] - 18:21, 18:23 shift [1] - 28:1 shine [1] - 40:22 shock [1] - 118:18 shocked [1] - 21:16 shoot [1] - 28:17 short [1] - 71:12 shorthand \({ }_{[1]}\) - 151:3 shortly [1] - 100:8 shot [2] - 55:4, 55:5 show [3]-48:1, 73:12, 111:18 showed [1] - 119:4 shows [1] - 116:8 sickness [2]-38:14, 76:23 side [5] - 25:5, 29:15, 124:20, 125:8, 125:12 Sidney [2] - 78:14, 87:15 sights [1] - 131:13 sign [11] - 18:20, 18:23, 19:15, 21:8, 24:20, 27:10, 54:14, 57:5, 85:13, 86:1, 110:16 sign-in [5] - 19:15, 27:10, 54:14, 57:5, 110:16 sign-up [2] - 18:20, 18:23 signaling \({ }_{[1]}-71: 10\) signature [3]-86:6, 86:19, 112:16 signed [12] - 4:5, 5:8, 25:3, 25:6, 27:11, 71:9, 76:17, 78:5, 79:21, 85:20, 128:9, 131:16 significant [1] - 112:11``` | ```signing [2] - 63:10, 85:24 signs [1] - 85:17 similar [3]-29:16, 72:3, 135:15 simple [1] - 23:10 simply \([2]\) - 13:24, 130:5 sincerely \({ }_{[1]}\) - 66:13 Sincerely [2] - 68:1, 78:2 single \([3]\) - 22:8, 22:19, 119:17 sister [1]-65:14 site [3]-51:9, 51:10, 91:16 Site [1] - 83:1 sites [4]-138:21, 139:6, 142:4, 142:6 siting [2]-71:13, 108:13 sits [1]-124:4 sitting [1] - 55:12 \(\boldsymbol{s i x}[1]\) - 63:4 sizes [1] - 76:12 skies [2]-70:7, 78:1 Sky \([3]\) - 22:16, 47:13, 51:13 sky \({ }^{11}\) - \(22: 21\) sleep [12] - 38:15, 52:4, 52:10, 53:2, 79:1, 81:2, 81:3, 85:15, 85:18, 86:5, 86:8, 133:10 sleeping \([1]\) - 52:9 slide \([1]\) - 73:12 slightly [3] - 39:12, 39:14, 39:17 slowly [1] - 64:17 smack [1] - 126:7 Small [1] - 61:15 small [2]-63:3, 70:8 smallest \({ }_{[1]}-86: 20\) Smith [16] - 4:21, 5:2, 45:18, 46:2, 52:17, 52:19, 52:24, 53:9, 54:1, 125:2, 125:17, 127:5, 128:18, 134:3 SMITH [12] - 4:22, 45:19, 46:1, 46:5, 46:8, 46:12, 46:17, 46:22, 47:1, 47:3, 127:7, 127:10 SMITH. ............... [2]-2:6, 2:13 so.. [1] - 106:21 social [1] - 63:8 society [2] - 86:22, 87:1``` | ```Society \({ }_{[1]}-59: 6\) Soil [25] - 90:17, 90:22, 91:5, 96:15, 98:24, 99:9, 99:10, 99:17, 99:22, 100:2, 100:11, 100:19, 101:4, 101:8, 101:20, 106:2, 106:11, 108:17, 109:11, 140:3, 140:14, 141:1, 144:8, 145:4, 145:10 soil [4] - 70:11, 97:15, 97:18, 132:13 solar [12] - 14:22, 30:7, 30:21, 34:18, 34:19, 80:1, 121:14, 121:16, 123:3, 123:15, 124:10, 124:14 sold [3] - 127:20, 137:20, 137:22 solely [1] - 119:8 solution [2]-119:17, 120:11 solve [1] - 41:3 someday [1] - 15:1 someone [2]-58:16, 133:9 sometime [1] - 99:5 sometimes [1] - 113:23 somewhere [1] - 119:19 son [1] - 63:2 sons [3] - 62:24, 63:1, 63:4 sorry [9] - 5:13, 5:19, 5:20, 35:4, 35:5, 72:7, 104:22, 105:6, 122:17 sort [1] - 108:12 sound [19]-37:15, 38:8, 38:11, 76:24, 79:23, 80:3, 80:9, 80:21, 81:14, 81:15, 81:19, 81:20, 82:4, 82:22, 113:12, 115:18, 115:20, 134:4, 134:9 sounds [4]-25:12, 123:19, 131:14, 147:21 source [9]-63:24, 66:10, 80:14, 81:5, 82:8, 83:8, 83:10, 83:12, 112:9 source's [1] - 82:21 sources [5] - 15:10, 30:14, 30:21, 34:4,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:20 } \\ & \text { south }[5]-39: 17, \\ & 41: 12,41: 14, \\ & 104: 21,128: 2 \\ & \text { southeast }[1]-39: 18 \\ & \text { southern }[2]-12: 6, \\ & 29: 4 \\ & \text { southwest }[1]-39: 13 \\ & \text { spaced }[2]-74: 12, \\ & \text { 142:18 } \\ & \text { span }[1]-94: 18 \\ & \text { speaker }[1]-25: 18 \\ & \text { speaking }[4]-18: 21, \\ & 18: 24,20: 17,74: 22 \\ & \text { speaks }[2]-21: 23 \\ & \text { SPECIAL }[1]-1: 2 \\ & \text { special }[12]-4: 16, \\ & 18: 21,36: 12,39: 24, \\ & 40: 16,42: 19,44: 3, \\ & 44: 21,60: 18,93: 6, \\ & 94: 9,114: 2 \\ & \text { Special }[5]-63: 20, \\ & 94: 12,94: 16,97: 1, \\ & 100: 18 \\ & \text { species }[4]-16: 15, \\ & 16: 20,17: 16,60: 4 \\ & \text { specific }[2]-108: 15, \\ & 114: 12 \\ & \text { specifically }[7]-8: 2, \\ & 8: 19,11: 17,12: 3, \\ & 34: 12,62: 22,72: 19 \\ & \text { speech }[1]-104: 19 \\ & \text { speeds }[1]-59: 23 \\ & \text { Spell }[1]-118: 3 \\ & \text { spell }[3]-33: 16, \\ & 89: 24,125: 22 \\ & \text { spelling }[11]-11: 11, \\ & 19: 10,27: 6,36: 18, \\ & 43: 6,45: 23,54: 10, \\ & 105: 18,120: 4, \\ & 130: 21,135: 7 \\ & \text { spending }[1]-50: 17 \\ & \text { spent }[5]-27: 24, \\ & 43: 24,59: 16,61: 6, \\ & 87: 13 \\ & \text { spinning }[2]-34: 15, \\ & 78: 1 \\ & \text { spraying }[1]-75: 22 \\ & \text { spring }[1]-75: 19 \\ & \text { sprinkling }[1]-111: 14 \\ & \text { Stable }[1]-65: 22 \\ & \text { stable }[3]-63: 24, \\ & 66: 10,112: 9 \\ & \text { stack }[2]-16: 12,17: 2 \\ & \text { staff }[11]-18: 15, \\ & 26: 17,36: 5,42: 15, \\ & 45: 15,53: 21,56: 23, \\ & 78: 19,88: 1,106: 20, \\ & 107: 13 \\ & \text { stage }[2]-113: 8, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 113:20 <br> stance [1] - 77:20 <br> stand $[8]-5: 12,31: 3$, 68:9, 68:21, 69:9, <br> 70:12, 71:10, 89:15 <br> standard [1] - 123:24 <br> standards [5] - 29:21, <br> 79:18, 113:2, 115:4, <br> 115:17 <br> standing [1]-29:15 <br> start [3] - 47:5, 76:13, <br> 111:1 <br> started ${ }_{[1]}$ - 136:2 <br> starting [2] - 127:6, <br> 135:14 <br> STATE ${ }_{[1]}-1: 1$ <br> State [4]-44:8, 54:9, <br> 122:10, 129:20 <br> state [33]-6:20, <br> 11:10, 12:10, 19:10, 27:6, 28:18, 28:24, 33:16, 36:18, 40:4, 43:5, 45:23, 55:9, 69:23, 71:5, 79:12, 89:23, 95:1, 105:18, 106:1, 108:13, 112:24, 114:5, 114:15, 115:16, 120:3, 123:23, 124:2, 124:16, 125:21, 134:17, 134:21, 140:7 <br> state's [1] - 3:10 <br> STATE'S ${ }_{[1]}-1: 20$ <br> state-of-the-art [1] - <br> 112:24 <br> statement [21] - 18:10, 20:15, 26:11, 33:7, 42:13, 43:1, 43:20, 45:9, 53:16, 56:18, 57:19, 60:24, 71:19, 73:11, 73:17, 78:10, 78:15, 110:5, 110:11, 110:19, 137:13 <br> STATEMENT [3] - <br> 2:16, 110:23, 137:15 <br> STATEMENT. <br> ..... [1]-2:10 <br> statements [1] - <br> 131:10 <br> states [7] - 38:16, <br> 39:7, 39:24, 41:1, <br> 58:12, 81:3, 123:11 <br> States [10]-13:10, <br> 13:20, 14:1, 50:14, 50:18, 79:9, 83:24, 84:11, 86:21, $87: 5$ <br> stating ${ }_{[1]}-85: 17$ <br> Station [1]-28:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```statute \([3]-71: 5\), 114:7 statutory \({ }_{[1]}\) - 141:5 stay [2] - \(30: 18,64: 22\) staying \({ }_{[1]}\) - 69:21 steel [3] - 51:8, 51:16, 132:12 step [10]-26:21, 36:10, 45:17, 54:2, 57:3, 82:4, 87:24, 109:19, 113:16, 145:6 steps [1] - 119:18 Steven [3] - 36:13, 36:20, 104:9 STEVEN [3] - 2:5, 36:14, 36:20 stick [1] - 69:11 still [25] - 23:19, 29:6, 40:6, 57:7, 62:24, 63:1, 65:9, 66:23, 67:5, 70:24, 71:3, 74:18, 75:6, 75:8, 75:24, 76:15, 84:16, 107:2, 131:24, 132:16, 134:7, 134:8, 136:24, 147:19 Stillabower [3]- 74:10, 74:15, 78:2 stimulated \([1]\) - 67:9 stopped [1] - 48:18 straight [2] - 39:15, 39:16 stream [1] - 16:21 streams [2]-12:24, 63:12 stricken [1] - 73:18 strictly \({ }^{[1]}\) - 20:14 strobe [3]-22:19, 22:21 strong [2]-68:21, 70:12 strongly \([1]-59: 8\) struck [1] - 55:4 structure \({ }_{[1]}\) - 51:10 structures [2]-83:18, 132:17 stuck [1]-22:9 studied [2] - 30:2, 67:6 studies [1] - 59:19 study [3] - 98:2, 98:13, 122:9 stuff [2] - 118:21, 120:14 subject [3] - 37:4, 77:18, 107:22 submission [2] - 102:12, 144:8``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { submit }[5]-71: 19, \\ & \text { 94:9, 98:23, 99:3, } \\ & \text { 141:2 } \\ & \text { submitted }[13]-19: 2, \\ & 58: 4,58: 6,63: 21, \\ & 66: 8,99: 7,100: 12, \\ & \text { 102:11, 106:6, } \\ & \text { 106:17, 108:4, } \\ & \text { 144:21, 145:11 } \\ & \text { submitting }[1]- \\ & \text { 102:15 } \\ & \text { subparts }[1]-139: 23 \\ & \text { subsequently }[1]- \\ & \text { 100:10 } \\ & \text { subsidies }[2]-30: 12, \\ & 136: 18 \\ & \text { subsidy }[1]-50: 16 \\ & \text { substantially }[1]- \\ & 40: 1 \\ & \text { substantive }[1]- \\ & 114: 18 \\ & \text { substation }[9]-7: 3, \\ & 37: 12,37: 16,41: 11, \\ & 41: 15,91: 13,92: 10, \\ & 104: 20,142: 6 \\ & \text { substitute }[1]-49: 18 \\ & \text { subverting }[1]-73: 8 \\ & \text { success }[1]-130: 9 \\ & \text { suffer }[1]-86: 24 \\ & \text { suggest }[2]-140: 19, \\ & 148: 4 \\ & \text { suggested }[1]-144: 7 \\ & \text { suggestion }[1]- \\ & 147: 13 \\ & \text { suited }[1]-31: 6 \\ & \text { summary }[2]-41: 7, \\ & 85: 9 \\ & \text { summer }[1]-51: 14 \\ & \text { summers }[1]-49: 12 \\ & \text { sun }[1]-15: 10 \\ & \text { sunrise }[1]-70: 7 \\ & \text { sunset }[1]-70: 8 \\ & \text { sunsets }[1]-78: 1 \\ & \text { supermajority }[1]- \\ & 72: 21 \\ & \text { supplemental }[3]- \\ & 140: 15,141: 3 \\ & \text { supplied }[4]-30: 7, \\ & 30: 13,34: 14,108: 7 \\ & \text { supply }[1]-109: 12 \\ & \text { supplying }[1]-30: 22 \\ & \text { support }[33]-4: 16, \\ & 4: 20,5: 4,5: 8,6: 5, \\ & 7: 10,11: 20,12: 14, \\ & 18: 21,26: 14,33: 10, \\ & 33: 13,36: 1,45: 12, \\ & 53: 19,56: 21,58: 5, \\ & 58: 9,58: 10,60: 7, \\ & 62: 17,64: 1,64: 10, \\ & 66: 14,66: 20,68: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:23, 117:11, } \\ & \text { 117:14, 117:21, } \\ & \text { 121:24, 122:1, 133:3 } \\ & \text { SUPPORT }[2]-2: 11, \\ & \text { 118:7 } \\ & \text { Support }[1]-28: 4 \\ & \text { supported }[3]-112: 6, \\ & 112: 13,112: 21 \\ & \text { supporter }[1]-15: 23 \\ & \text { supporters }[1]-59: 7 \\ & \text { supports }[3]-58: 16, \\ & 59: 8,63: 18 \\ & \text { supposed }[6]-55: 21, \\ & 73: 6,119: 15, \\ & 127: 21,135: 23, \\ & 135: 24 \\ & \text { suppression }[3]- \\ & 76: 4,112: 24,131: 21 \\ & \text { surface }[1]-112: 18 \\ & \text { surrounding }[2]- \\ & 29: 10,83: 17 \\ & \text { Susan }[2]-135: 3, \\ & 135: 8 \\ & \text { SUSAN }[1]-135: 8 \\ & \text { susceptible }[2]- \\ & 38: 18,38: 19 \\ & \text { sustain }[2]-105: 5, \\ & 120: 15 \\ & \text { sustainable }[3]-16: 5, \\ & 120: 17,132: 20 \\ & \text { sworn }[9]-11: 8,19: 7, \\ & 27: 3,36: 15,42: 22, \\ & 45: 20,54: 7,89: 18, \\ & 89: 21 \\ & \text { Sycamore }[3]-64: 5, \\ & 65: 23,66: 13 \\ & \text { symbols }[1]-51: 3 \\ & \text { symptoms }[1]-38: 15 \\ & \text { synthetic }[1]-128: 5 \\ & \text { system }[3]-8: 15, \\ & 8: 17,41: 5 \\ & \text { System }[2]-32: 4, \\ & 123: 7 \\ & \text { systems }[2]-16: 2, \\ & 76: 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```tear \({ }_{[1]}-132: 4\) technical [4]-29:22, 79:16, 133:9, 133:11 technically [2] - 13:23, 31:11 techniques [2] - 81:20, 82:24 technology [6] - 34:21, 35:11, 35:16, 67:12, 113:1, 150:23 Ted [4] - 37:22, 78:22, 125:17, 128:20 ten [9]-22:7, 23:7, 23:8, 27:21, 30:23, 38:12, 46:10, 46:18, 54:18 ten-minute [1] - 46:10 tenant [2]-15:7, 65:9 tender [1]-6:10 tenths [3]-39:13, 39:14, 39:16 term [1] - 71:12 terms [1]-143:21 terrible [1] - 128:4 testified [10] - 11:9, 19:8, 27:4, 36:16, 42:23, 45:21, 54:8, 89:21, 92:16, 133:2 testify [3]-57:6, 128:21, 128:22 testimony [21] - 4:13, 5:18, 18:19, 26:20, 33:21, 36:9, 42:2, 52:18, 52:20, 57:2, 57:4, 70:21, 70:24, 79:3, 88:19, 103:21, 105:1, 105:8, 112:7, 112:14, 112:21 text [2]-79:11, 81:6 thankful [3]-62:20, 63:4, 67:14 THE [5] - 1:16, 1:18, 2:16, 57:23, 137:15 themselves [2]-51:6, 64:18 Theodore [2] - 78:13, 87:14 therefore \({ }_{[1]}-85: 16\) thick [1] - 62:4 thinking \({ }_{[1]}-60: 23\) third \([6]-7: 2,8: 18\), 39:5, 41:21, 54:21, 106:23 third-generation [1] - 54:21 thirty \({ }_{[1]}\) - 23:10 Thomas [2]-15:4, 62:11 thoughts [2]-55:2, 147:12``` | ```thousands [1] - 132:12 threatened \([3]-16: 14\), 16:19, 17:15 three [27]-3:24, 6:1, 6:13, 6:18, 7:4, 8:8, 8:11, 37:6, 41:7, 53:4, 58:6, 58:21, 62:23, 63:4, 74:11, 84:22, 110:14, 117:15, 117:23, 118:6, 120:7, 123:10, 125:3, 125:13, 126:2, 127:6, 135:11 three-day [1] - 3:24 three-minute [2] - 117:15, 125:13 thrive [1] - 16:4 throughout [2] - 95:11, 116:7 thunderstorm [3] - 136:1, 136:6, 136:14 Thursday [3] - 4:10, 6:19, 57:11 tide [1] - 15:10 timeframe [1] - 146:20 timeline [1]-109:1 timely \({ }_{[1]}\) - 111:9 title [1] - 91:7 titled [1] - 78:15 today [13]-11:23, 47:4, 57:15, 60:9, 62:12, 64:4, 66:15, 66:20, 71:15, 74:11, 95:19, 120:19, 121:15 Todd [1] - 1:15 toe [1] - 62:8 together [2]-90:14, 108:16 Tom [1] - 60:10 tonight [13]-11:20, 17:22, 20:18, 66:15, 71:19, 88:11, 111:10, 123:2, 134:2, 137:18, 140:9, 140:23, 145:9 tonnage \([1]\) - 132:8 tons [11]-13:11, 13:12, 13:18, 13:21, 13:22, 14:5, 51:17, 51:18, 51:20, 132:12 took [1] - 57:10 top [4]-65:3, 113:6, 126:15, 132:13 topic [2]-105:2, 108:9 torn [1] - 132:17 torture [2]-85:15,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```85:18 touch [1] - 122:24 toward [2]-88:10, 147:7 towards [1] - 43:4 tower [2] - 76:12, 136:11 towers [7]-7:1, 51:20, 77:16, 79:24, 135:15, 136:1, 136:9 towers/complex [1] - 136:3 town [4]-14:14, 69:23, 70:8, 126:23 towns [1] - 77:17 Township [1] - 11:18 toxic [5] - 12:22, 12:24, 13:22, 13:23, 14:1 Tracy [3]-64:5, 64:8, 66:13 trail [1] - 62:2 trails [1] - 61:18 transcribed [1] - 151:4 transcript [1]-151:6 transcription [1] - 151:5 transcripts [6] - 142:23, 143:10, 143:15, 143:23, 144:3, 145:9 transformers [1] - 37:16 transient [1] - 35:11 transients [2]-34:16, 34:22 transmission [2]-7:1, 49:8 trees [1] - 62:2 tried [1] - 107:2 trivial [1] - 72:22 trucker [1]-63:3 trucks [1]-51:8 true [2]-80:23, 151:6 true-life [1]-80:23 trump [3] - 130:3, 130:4, 130:5 try [4] - \(33: 13,109: 3\), 123:24, 139:10 trying [2] - 46:13, 68:16 Tuesday [1] - 147:8 turbine [28] - 13:11, 14:6, 15:6, 20:24, 21:9, 21:13, 24:21, 25:2, 39:9, 39:12, 39:14, 39:15, 39:17, 51:16, 53:1, 65:6, 65:10, 69:17, 76:23, 79:2, 79:14, 82:11,``` | ```98:10, 126:17, 128:3, 138:21, 142:6 Turbine [1] - 39:18 turbines [36] - 17:5, 21:5, 22:9, 22:13, 25:4, 30:18, 38:9, 38:17, 39:22, 40:12, 48:16, 49:20, 50:11, 50:14, 51:2, 52:5, 58:23, 59:22, 69:13, 70:3, 70:11, 75:5, 76:4, 76:11, 77:11, 82:8, 85:10, 85:11, 85:17, 120:18, 120:24, 121:20, 122:10, 126:9, 126:19, 128:6 turn [1] - 50:5 turned \([4]-52: 15\), 68:13, 78:4, 78:6 turning [1] - 52:11 turnout [2]-118:24, 119:3 two [20]-4:17, 6:9, 8:5, 9:22, 10:11, 21:5, 24:22, 25:4, 25:7, 49:15, 58:5, 59:16, 76:2, 76:12, 80:17, 99:17, 138:10, 139:23, 148:2, 150:4 type \({ }_{[7]}-38: 11,93: 5\), 96:13, 96:21, 97:9, 97:16, 141:15 types [2] - 67:10, 96:21 typically [4]-96:14, 96:21, 97:8, 97:13 \begin{tabular}{l} \(\mathbf{U}\) \\ \hline ultimate \(_{[1]}-84: 20\) \\ ultimately \(_{[1]}-65: 15\) \\ ultrasound \([1]-52: 8\) \\ unanswered \([1]-75: 6\) \\ uncertainty \([3]-75: 9\), \\ 123:2, 123:14 \\ uncompensated \([3]-\) \\ 85:2, 86:9, 86:14 \\ unconstitutional \([1]\) - \\ 85:7 \\ under \([5]-37: 3,80: 4\), \\ 105:7, 115:1, 115:22 \\ underground \([2]-\) \\ \(8: 15,8: 17\) \\ underlined \([2]-79: 11\), \\ \(80: 10\) \\ underlying \([1]-\) \\ 115:24 \\ underneath \([1]-\) \end{tabular}``` | ```132:13 understood [3] - 99:14, 106:14, 140:9 unfortunate [1] - 23:18 unfortunately \([3]\) - 100:5, 121:11, 121:22 uninterrupted \({ }_{[1]}\) - 70:7 union [1] - 70:9 United [10] - 13:10, 13:20, 14:1, 50:13, 50:18, 79:9, 83:23, 84:11, 86:21, 87:4 units [8] - 18:4, 26:5, 33:1, 42:7, 45:3, 53:10, 56:12, 116:20 University [2] - 67:4, 122:10 unlawful [1] -95:16 unleased [2] - 82:9, 83:21 unless [1] - 83:11 unsound [1] - 31:11 up [53] - 5:8, 5:9, 5:15, 5:17, 5:20, 6:2, 6:6, 8:5, 11:6, 11:22, 14:13, 16:11, 18:20, 18:23, 28:9, 30:8, 32:8, 33:13, 35:2, 35:7, 48:11, 57:10, 59:11, 63:10, 66:23, 68:9, 71:10, 74:22, 76:16, 82:5, 92:15, 94:21, 103:14, 105:2, 106:15, 106:19, 110:13, 111:10, 118:9, 118:20, 119:4, 119:10, 125:13, 128:2, 128:11, 129:22, 131:16, 136:2, 137:9, 140:21, 145:12, 146:15 update [1] - 6:17 updated [2]-6:12, 6:16 upgrades [1] - 112:17 upholding [1]-86:13 upper \([1]-37: 13\) uproar [1] - 132:4 upstairs [1] - 149:17 Urbana [3] - 66:17, 67:5, 68:1 urge \({ }_{[2]}-31: 8,68: 8\) US \([7]-13: 15,13: 19\), 47:24, 81:3, 86:13, 96:19, 123:11``` | ```USE [1] - 1:2 utilities \({ }_{[1]}\) - 47:16 utility [1] - 67:17 utility-scale [1] - 67:17 utilize [1] - 15:15```  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:20, 106:2, } \\ & \text { 106:11, 108:17, } \\ & \text { 109:11, 140:3, } \\ & \text { 140:14, 141:1, } \\ & \text { 144:9, 145:5, 145:10 } \\ & \text { waters }[1]-14: 4 \\ & \text { waves }[1]-134: 9 \\ & \text { Wax }[10]-1: 10,3: 6, \\ & 3: 22,62: 14,64: 6, \\ & 66: 19,111: 3, \\ & \text { 143:19, 146:8, 149:7 } \\ & \text { WAX }[19]-3: 7,3: 11, \\ & 3: 21,52: 23,53: 5, \\ & \text { 143:20, 145:19, } \\ & \text { 145:24, 146:3, } \\ & \text { 146:9, 146:15, } \\ & \text { 147:13, 147:21, } \\ & \text { 148:8, 148:15, } \\ & \text { 148:24, 149:8, } \\ & \text { 150:6, 150:18 } \\ & \text { WAX................... } \\ & {[1]-2: 7} \\ & \text { ways }[8]-13: 3,14: 23, \\ & 16: 5,38: 13,61: 14, \\ & 84: 15,123: 6,124: 4 \\ & \text { website }[4]-47: 22, \\ & 51: 1,77: 4,121: 10 \\ & \text { WECS }[5]-113: 22, \\ & 114: 13,115: 9, \\ & 115: 17,137: 23 \\ & \text { Wednesday }[2]- \\ & 106: 24,147: 4 \\ & \text { week [9] - 4:18, 20:2, } \\ & 73: 13,92: 16, \\ & 111: 21,116: 7, \\ & \text { 138:23, 147:7, } \\ & \text { 147:19 } \\ & \text { weekend }[1]-3: 24 \\ & \text { weekly }[1]-28: 8 \\ & \text { weighing }[1]-114: 22 \\ & \text { welcome }[1]-25: 23 \\ & \text { welfare }[1]-116: 12 \\ & \text { well-respected }[1]- \\ & 39: 6 \\ & \text { west }[1]-37: 12 \\ & \text { Western }[1]-61: 15 \\ & \text { wetlands }[1]-97: 15 \\ & \text { wheelhouse }[1]- \\ & 48: 24 \\ & \text { whipping }[1]-52: 7 \\ & \text { White }[4]-61: 18, \\ & 61: 19,61: 24,135: 19 \\ & \text { whole }[4]-43: 16, \\ & 72: 6,113: 2,143: 7 \\ & \text { wholeheartedly }[1]- \\ & \text { 140:20 } \\ & \text { wildlife }[1]-60: 3 \\ & \text { William [1] - 1:11 } \\ & \text { willing }[2]-140: 10, \\ & \text { 140:12 } \end{aligned}$ | Wind [65] - 6:3, 6:14, 11:21, 37:7, 44:14, 47:13, 60:7, 60:19, 61:4, 62:10, 62:18, 63:18, 63:22, 64:1, 64:9, 64:11, 65:3, 66:2, 66:8, 66:21, 67:2, 67:15, 67:21, 68:17, 78:19, 79:5, 85:17, 85:20, 87:7, 89:14, 90:14, 91:13, 93:17, 93:20, 94:2, 94:6, 94:8, 94:12, 94:15, 94:21, 94:22, 95:1, 95:5, 95:10, 95:19, 97:1, 97:9, 97:17, 97:22, 98:10, 98:19, 99:7, 99:21, 100:18, 102:3, 103:4, 110:12, 111:6, 111:17, 111:22, 114:23, 116:14, 140:10, 140:20 <br> wind [136]-12:14, 12:21, 13:1, 13:3, 13:11, 14:6, 14:8, 14:16, 14:18, 14:19, 14:20, 14:22, 15:2, 15:6, 15:10, 15:12, 17:5, 20:6, 20:13, 20:20, 20:23, 20:24, 21:5, 21:13, 21:21, 21:24, 22:9, 22:13, 22:15, 25:2, 25:4, 28:16, 30:7, 30:21, 31:10, 34:18, 38:20, 39:9, 39:22, 40:9, 40:11, 40:21, 44:3, 44:4, 44:5, 44:10, 48:3, 48:6, 48:10, 48:15, 48:16, 49:20, 50:7, 50:8, 50:11, 50:14, 51:2, 51:5, 52:4, 58:16, 58:23, 59:8, 59:10, 59:23, 60:5, 60:22, 61:4, 63:11, 67:7, 67:10, 67:12, 67:19, 67:23, 68:10, 70:2, 70:10, 71:1, 71:13, 71:20, 72:20, 74:21, 74:24, 75:19, 76:23, 78:8, 78:16, 78:24, 79:2, 79:14, 79:24, 80:19, 82:8, 82:11, 84:16, 92:21, 93:1, 95:21, 114:3, 114:8, 114:12, 115:10, 119:8, 119:16, 120:9, 120:18, | ```120:24, 121:12, 121:14, 121:20, 121:22, 121:24, 122:10, 123:3, 123:15, 124:3, 124:9, 124:14, 126:9, 126:12, 126:17, 126:19, 127:19, 128:2, 128:5, 128:13, 134:7, 134:16, 135:14, 136:1, 136:3, 136:9, 136:11, 138:21 WIND [1] - 1:3 wind-specific [1] - 114:12 wind-turbine-related [1] - 76:23 windmills [4]-40:19, 54:23, 56:2, 56:7 window [3]-22:18, 22:20, 22:24 Wingstrom [2]-1:23, 151:2 wise [1] - 69:10 wish \([7]\) - 40:17, 88:15, 116:24, 117:3, 117:14, 121:2, 137:14 wishes [4]-26:24, 40:14, 116:23, 125:18 withdraw [1] - 140:11 witness [17]-11:8, 18:3, 19:7, 27:3, 36:15, 42:18, 42:22, 43:1, 45:20, 53:7, 54:3, 54:7, 88:22, 89:11, 89:20, 90:3, 90:9 witnesses [3]-57:4, 88:14, 88:22 wondering [2] - 20:12, 21:18 Wood [3]-64:5, 64:8, 66:13 Woods [1] - 65:21 word [1] - 123:5 words [1]-12:18 workable [1] - 141:13 workers [1] - 70:10 works [3] - 49:5, 91:5, 120:19 world's [1] - 123:12 worry [1] - 124:5 write [4]-62:17, 64:18, 66:20, 125:10 writes [2]-82:12, 86:10``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:12, 114:6, 114:8, } \\ & \text { 117:1, 131:4, } \\ & \text { 138:19, 138:20, } \\ & \text { 145:6 } \\ & \text { Zoning [32] - 18:1, } \\ & \text { 24:7, 31:15, 39:24, } \\ & \text { 40:5, 40:13, 42:4, } \\ & \text { 44:24, 52:21, 53:23, } \\ & \text { 56:10, 57:12, 58:4, } \\ & \text { 58:6, 60:11, 62:14, } \\ & 64: 6,66: 18,66: 19, \\ & 70: 16,70: 19,71: 23, \\ & 73: 20,74: 14,74: 20, \\ & 78: 18,84: 24,87: 21, \\ & \text { 103:22, 111:3, } \\ & 111: 7,144: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

